



**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – PASTOR SPENCER BARRETT, FIRST AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

**MINUTES:**

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, and WEEKLY

EXCUSED: COUNCILMAN MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session) and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:11)

**1-1**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY gave the invocation.

(9:12)

**1-13**

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:13)

**1-47**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

**RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH**

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recognized MICHAEL JAMES LARA as the Citizen of the Month. A recent graduate of Faith Lutheran High School, MR. LARA was one of the Valedictorians of the high school. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD commented that she was able to work with MR. LARA through the Key Club and the Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP). The Key Club was successful in obtaining a \$1,000 grant from the City of Las Vegas. With the money, the Key Club members tutored children who were reading below their grade level. At the end of that academic year, all of the children were able to dramatically improve their reading skills and in many cases exceeded their grade level. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD lauded the program and the students who used their initiatives and motivation to bring about accomplishments that were achieved by these students.

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Ceremonial

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. LARA expressed his appreciation to MAYOR GOODMAN and the COUNCILMEMBERS for this great honor. He stated that he has gained so much from this experience and it was a privilege working with the City Council and Neighborhood Services. He said that he hopes to continue his service to the community in the future and upon completing his college education at Cal Poly San Luis Obispo, where he will double major in computer engineering.

(9:13 – 9:17)

**1-73**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

PRESENTATION OF THE PRESIDENTIAL PORTRAIT FROM SOUTHWEST GAS

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN called upon WALTER PFYLE, and Southwest Gas representatives ROBYN CLAYTON, Manager of Consumer and Community Affairs, and DEBRA JACOBSEN, Director of Government and State Regulatory Affairs, to join him at the podium. MAYOR GOODMAN explained that the City has been fortunate, through the contribution of Southwest Gas, to display for public view a portrait collection of all U.S. Presidents. On behalf of the City Council, he thanked Southwest Gas and called upon MR. PFYLE, the artist, and MS. CLAYTON and MS. JACOBSEN to assist with the unveiling of the 43<sup>rd</sup> Presidential Portrait.

MS. CLAYTON acknowledged that Southwest Gas had commissioned all of the portraits but wanted to share them with the general public. On behalf of Southwest Gas, she thanked MR. PFYLE for his outstanding work and contributions. MR. PFYLE conveyed that he was pleased to have been commissioned to do the collection and expressed his gratitude to Southwest Gas for their continued support of the arts.

(9:17 – 9:19)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

**PROCLAMATION PRESENTATION OF FLASHFLOOD AWARENESS MONTH**

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN called upon GALE FRASIER of the Regional Flood Control District to accept the Proclamation declaring the month of July as Flashflood Awareness Month. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that COUNCILMAN BROWN sits on the Board of the Regional Flood Control and can attest to the number of flood-related deaths that occur due to people attempting to drive through flooded areas. He expressed the Council's belief that it is vitally important to educate the general public about the perils of flash flooding. MR. FRASIER expressed his gratitude on behalf of the Regional Flood Control District and stated that the Flood Control District has two goals: (1) keep flood waters away from the people and (2) keep people away from the flood waters.

COUNCILMAN BROWN thanked MR. FRASIER and the Regional Flood Control District and also acknowledged BETTY HOLLISTER who manages the public information and outreach for the District. He mentioned a recent kick-off event where rescue simulations were done and mentioned that the

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Ceremonial

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

public is totally unaware of the dangers that police, fire fighters and rescue officers are placed in when responding to rescue missions. The exhibition was to enlighten and make the public aware of the dangers of flooding and to stress the need to be careful. COUNCILMAN BROWN shared that the City in coordination with the Regional Flood Control District is making every effort to protect the general public by controlling and managing floodwaters.

(9:19 – 9:23)

**1-259**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

**PRESENTATION OF THE KEY TO THE CITY TO THE SCINTAS FAMILY**

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MINUTES:**

Presentation was not made.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

PRESENTATION TO UNIVISION

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN called upon GABRIEL QUIROZ, General Manager of KINC-TV, VANESSA HAUGHT, DAVID RIGGLEMAN, MATT KOBLINSKI and RAMON TORRES to join him at the podium. MAYOR GOODMAN was excited to acknowledge the City's participation in a milestone program, the very first of its kind in the entire country that makes available to the Spanish-speaking community, a wide variety of programming. The programs will be aired on Channel 15 twice a week beginning on Sunday at 7:00 p.m. and once again on Thursdays at 8:00 a.m. In recognition of this, MAYOR GOODMAN proclaimed Sunday, July 8<sup>th</sup>, KINC-KCLV DAY.

MS. QUIROZ recognized the amazing growth of the Spanish-speaking community in Las Vegas and stated that often when you are new to a city, it is difficult trying to find the right resources to help you get settled. On behalf of the Spanish-speaking community, MS. QUIROZ thanked the City for affording them the opportunity to learn about all of the relevant aspects of Nevada and become aware of the various programs available.

(9:23 – 9:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

**DIRECTOR: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

**CELEBRATION OF PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH**

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MINUTES:**

Presentation was not made.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI)  
RONEMUS**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item Nos. 62 and 83 and ABEY Item Nos. 54 and 55 to 9/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused with a letter of disclosure of conflict of interest for Item 83**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested Item Nos. 54 and 55 be held in abeyance for one month. He stated that it should be Alta Landscaping Maintenance Capital Projects Special Improvement District. He plans to send a letter to all constituents who, for the past two years, have worked on this project, encouraging their input at the August 1, 2001 City Council meeting.

COUNCILMAN BROWN announced that he supports COUNCILMAN McDONALD'S intent regarding both abeyance items and had wanted to participate in the discussions; however, as prior plans for a family vacation have already been confirmed, he asked if the meeting date could be changed to the second week in August to allow him to participate. COUNCILMAN McDONALD agreed to hold these items in abeyance to September 5, 2001.

(9:27 –9:30)

**1-477**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI)  
RONEMUS**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of June 6, 2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:30)

**1-577**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Discussion and possible action to adjust the City Auditor's compensation (**Note: To be trailed until the Afternoon Session, following the Closed Session**)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> To be determined
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> City Audit
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Yearly performance and compensation review of the City Auditor

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Notice of closed personnel session, which has been scheduled to precede the action item

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVAL of a 6 percent merit increase with a 4 percent bonus - UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**NOTE:** Subsequent to the meeting, it was determined that the effective date for the merit increase and bonus would be July 8, 2001.

**MINUTES:**

Councilman Reese announced that we heard during our closed door meeting a lot of nice things about our City Auditor and the job that he has done.

There was no further discussion.

(1:06)

3-7

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS**

**DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Carter & Burgess, Inc. for civil engineering on-call services (\$40,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$40,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Field Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** PW Capital Projects Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The city intends to construct a number of street rehabilitation projects where a qualified Civil Engineer would be required. The city desires to retain these services, which may include, without limitation, civil engineering site investigation, civil engineering analysis, civil engineering urban roadway design, and surveying.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve the professional services agreement with Carter & Burgess, Inc. for civil engineering on call services in the amount not to exceed \$40,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Professional Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked for CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC'S assistance in clarifying the real estate items that were forwarded without recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Field Operations

Item 4 – Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Carter & Burgess, Inc. for civil engineering on-call services.

**MINUTES – Continued:**

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC confirmed that under the new law, items cannot be acted upon without quorum, therefore all items from the Real Estate Committee meeting of July 2, 2001 were forwarded to City Council without recommendation because COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was the only member of the Real Estate Committee present. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC confirmed that Council can consider all items.

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested Item No. 57 be brought forward for discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested Item No. 20 be brought forward for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Accounting Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 05/01/01 - 05/31/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 11,876,207.22
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,095,969.95
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 37,573,843.55
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)



1-591

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Additional Liquor Caterer License, Y2K, Inc., dba All Sports Bar & Grill, 6138 West Charleston Blvd., Timothy J. Korney, Pres, 19%, James A. Hamilton, Secy, 25%, Kathy L. Korney, Treas, 19% Judith E. Hamilton, Dir, 25% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of an Additional Liquor Caterer License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officer for a Supper Club Liquor License, Gourmet Systems of Nevada, Inc., dba Applebee's Neighborhood Grill & Bar, 2070 North Rainbow Blvd., Carin L. Stutz, Dir, Pres - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officer for a Supper Club Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items **4-19, 21-53, and 56** – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

**Item 20** **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**Item 54** **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 55** **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 57** **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officer for a Tavern Liquor License, Gourmetwest of Nevada, LLC, dba Applebee's Neighborhood Grill & Bar, 4760 West Sahara Ave., Suite 1-4 (Non-operational), Carin L. Stutz, Dir, Pres - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officer for a Tavern Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items **4-19, 21-53, and 56** – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

**Item 20**                      **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**Item 54**                      **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 55**                      **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 57**                      **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Smoke Ranch, Inc., dba The Food Shop IV, Michael I. Maggiore, Dir, Pres, 50%, Kenneth H. Walker, Secy, Treas, 50%, To: Sarah Investments, Inc., dba Las Vegas Grocery III, 6050 Smoke Ranch Road, Tallat Ahmad, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Bullys of Nevada, Inc., dba Gamblers Hide A Way, 1945 Las Vegas Blvd. South (Non-operational), Kenneth L. Lehman, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, To: T-Bird #2, LLC, dba T-Bird Lounge, 8025 Farm Road, Calvin D. Jensen, Mgr, Mmbr, 35%, Bruce I. Familian, Mmbr, 27.5%, Rory L. Bedore, Mmbr, 27.5%, Jon M. Athey, Mmbr, 5%, Mary A. Rasmuson, Mmbr, 5% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20**

**APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**Item 54**

**ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 55**

**ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 57**

**APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 6 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Keith D. Brewer, dba 7-Eleven Food Store #29661, 1201 East Sahara Ave., Keith D. Brewer, Franchise Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 6 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Auctioneer License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, United States of America Auction Co., dba USA Auctions, From: 601 South Rancho Drive, D-31, To: 2753 South Highland Drive, #1046, Adam S. Miller, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Auctioneer License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Robin Bruhn, dba Robin Bruhn, 7652 Botany Bay Drive, Robin M. Bruhn, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Terry Lohman, dba Terry Lohman, 321 Galveston St., Terry K. Lohman, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Michael McFarlane, dba In Touch with Michael, 6181 Foothill Blvd., Michael S. McFarlane, 100% - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Jennifer Todorov, dba Jennifer Todorov, 9225 West Charleston Blvd. #2230, Jennifer Todorov, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20 APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54 ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55 ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57 APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Toni Bruttomesso, dba Toni Bruttomesso, From: 2700 North Rainbow Blvd., Unit 1135, To: 1181 South Buffalo Dr., Suite 140, Toni Bruttomesso, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                    APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                    ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                    ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                    APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Jillian B. Eisnor, dba Jillian B. Eisnor, From: 3270 North Buffalo Dr., Suite B, To: 3308 Sturbridge Circle, Jillian B. Eisnor, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items **4-19, 21-53, and 56** – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

**Item 20**                      **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**Item 54**                      **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 55**                      **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 57**                      **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Dennis Pieratt, dba Dennis Pieratt, From: 2150 North Tenaya Way, Unit 1004, To: 812 Sistine Street, Dennis Pieratt, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items **4-19, 21-53, and 56** – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

**Item 20** **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**Item 54** **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 55** **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 57** **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Gilbert Levy, dba G & G Liquidators, 401 West Bonanza Rd., Gilbert Z. Levy, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to the Special Use Permit and Planning conditions – **UNANIMOUS** with MACK excused

**MINUTES:**

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance & Business Services, asked to pull this item forward as the applicant has also applied for a Special Use Permit for outside storage. He amended his recommendation that this item be approved subject to the Special Use Permit and the Planning conditions placed on this property. The permanent license will not be issued until that issue has been heard by Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:31 – 9:32)

**1-645**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to award a Professional Services Agreement to design the layout, prepare a Request for Proposal (RFP), and manage the relocation and upgrade of the television broadcast studio (KF) - City Manager's Office - Award recommended to: DIGITAL SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY, INC. (\$45,000 - Video Productions Enterprise Fund) Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$45,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: City Manager's Office**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Enterprise Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This agreement will retain a qualified firm to design the layout, prepare an RFP, make recommendations for the selection process, and manage the relocation and installation of an upgrade to the City's television broadcast studio.

POC: Jeff Muhleman - (626) 472-7701

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the Professional Service Agreement to Digital System Technology, Inc. in the amount of \$45,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Professional Service Agreement
2. Disclosure of Ownership/Principals Certificate

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of two purchase orders for the purchase of software and hardware equipment and maintenance for the CLASS Project to connect various offsite locations to the City network computer system (JDF) - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: INFOLINK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (\$29,066.40 - General Fund) and CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. (\$14,681.60 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$ 43,748

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Information Technologies

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This requirement is for software, hardware and maintenance of computers for the CLASS Project to connect various offsite locations to the City network computer system. Although components are Cisco brand, the Department has identified an additional source offering lower prices for some of the items.

These components are exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115(g) hardware and associated peripheral equipment and devices for computers and NRS 332.115(h), software for computers.

POC: Julie Nielson - (702) 617-5800 (Infolink)

POC: Pat Murphy - (702) 798-7989 (Cisco)

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of two purchase orders for software, hardware and maintenance for the CLASS Project, to Infolink Technologies, Inc. in the amount of \$29,066.40 and to Cisco Systems, Inc. in the amount of \$14,681.60.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 22 – Approval of the issuance of two purchase orders for the purchase of software and hardware equipment and maintenance for the CLASS Project to connect various offsite locations to the City network computer system (JDF) – Department of Information Technologies.

**MINUTES – Continued:**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of rejection of all bids for Bid Number 010054-TC, Annual Requirements Contract to furnish traffic and zone paint for the City of Las Vegas - Departments of Field Operations and Public Works

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Field Operations /Public Works

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request would have provided for the purchase of Traffic and Zone Paint.

However, several suppliers were unable to pass performance testing as specified. The specifications will be reevaluated, separated and adjusted. The requirement will be rebid at a later date.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the rejection of all bids for Bid Number 010054-TC, Annual Requirements Contract to purchase traffic and zone paint.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**

**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of payment for a permanent partial disability award Claim #WC00060297 as required under the workers' compensation statutes (\$64,177 - Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$64,177

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Human Resources

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A retired Deputy Fire Chief was diagnosed with heart disease pursuant to NRS 617.457. He has been rated by a state authorized medical doctor who determined that the injury resulted in a 35 percent whole body impairment.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the permanent partial disability award of \$64,177 be approved.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**

**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of excess workers' compensation insurance coverage for FY 2001-2002 (\$87,550 - Workers' Compensation Self-Insured Trust)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$87,550

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Human Resources

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Workers' Compensation Self-Insured Trust

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Chapter 616 of the Nevada Revised Statutes requires that all self-insured employers maintain excess workers' compensation insurance coverage. The premium for this coverage is based on a self-insured retention limit of \$350,000 per claim and is priced using the City's estimated annual payroll. This is the last year of a four year guaranteed rate agreement with National Union Fire Insurance Company, through Marsh, Inc. The annual premium for this fourth year (7/1/01-7/1/02) will be \$87,550, based on a projected payroll of \$102,157,008 at an annual rate per \$100 in payroll of \$0.0857.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the payment of the FY 01/02 premium for excess workers' compensation coverage to Marsh, Inc. be approved.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Marsh USA Inc. invoice
2. Excess Workers' Compensation and Employee Liability Insurance Policy

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Human Resources

Item 25 – Approval of excess workers' compensation insurance coverage for FY 2001-2002

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**

**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the City's property damage fire insurance policy on buildings, contents, outside equipment, boiler and machinery for FY2002 with Marsh USA, Inc (\$117,838 - Self-Insurance Liability Trust Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$117,838

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Human Resources

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Self-Insurance Liability Trust Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To provide coverage to the City of Las Vegas for fire and other perils for buildings, contents, leased property, outside equipment, and boiler/machinery for FY2002.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the Fire Insurance Policy be approved.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Marsh USA, Inc. Insurance Proposal

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**

**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of excess liability insurance coverage for FY2002 (\$119,500 - Self-Insurance Liability Trust Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$119,500**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Human Resources**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Self-Insurance Liability Trust Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This insurance coverage provides catastrophic/umbrella coverage for liability claims against the City of Las Vegas. It provides coverage (after a \$1,000,000 self-insured retention) of up to \$10,000,000 per occurrence, for such liability claims as catastrophic auto accidents, wrongful death, employment practices, medical malpractice, public official errors and omissions, negligent pollution, and various liability claims filed under federal guidelines, where our exposure is unlimited by the state tort cap. This coverage is designed to protect the City against the worst case scenario.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the payment to Marsh USA, Inc. for the FY2002 premium for excess liability coverage be approved.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Marsh USA Inc. Invoice
2. June 20, 2001 Letter from Marsh USA Inc.
3. Coverage summaries and declarations

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Human Resources

Item 27 – Approval of excess liability insurance coverage for FY 2002

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT**

**DIRECTOR: KEITH GRONQUIST**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of annual purchase order for court appointed public defender representative services for Municipal Court (Annual aggregate amount of \$29,110 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$29,110**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Municipal Court/PD**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for court appointed public defender representative services for indigents charged with misdemeanors in Municipal Court during the period of July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002.

This request is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(b), Professional Services.

During the contract period, additional individual court appointed public defender representatives may be added to provide service as required.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve annual purchase order for public defender representative services and that the Court Administrator be authorized to execute contracts with said individuals in the annual aggregate amount of \$29,110.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Court Appointed Public Defender Representative Services List

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Municipal Court

Item 28 – Approval of annual purchase order for court appointed public defender  
representative services for Municipal Court

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: LAS VEGAS MUNICIPAL COURT**

**DIRECTOR: KEITH GRONQUIST**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of annual purchase order for public defender services for Municipal Court by the Clark County Public Defenders Office (Annual aggregate amount of \$801,382 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$801,382**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Municipal Court/PD**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for public defender representation by the Clark County Public Defenders Office for indigents charged with misdemeanors in Municipal Court during the period of July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 with annual renewals for as long as the bidding exception applies.

This request is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1 (b), Professional Services.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve annual purchase order for public defender representation of indigents, to Clark County, for the period of July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 with annual renewals for as long as the bidding exception applies, in the annual aggregate amount of \$801,382.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. October 17, 1995 Interlocal Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Municipal Court

Item 29 – Approval of annual purchase order for public defender services for Municipal Court by the Clark County Public Defenders Office

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT**

**DIRECTOR: KEITH GRONQUIST**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of annual purchase order for interpretation services for Municipal Court (Annual aggregate amount of \$108,820 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$108,820**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Municipal Court/Judges**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for certified court interpreters who provide translation services for Municipal Court during the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002.

This request is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(b), Professional Services.

During the contract period, additional individual interpreters may be added to provide service as required.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve annual purchase order for interpreter service and that the Court Administrator be authorized to execute contracts with said individuals in the aggregate amount of \$108,820.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Certified Court Interpreters List

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Municipal Court

Item 30 – Approval of annual purchase order for interpretation services for Municipal Court

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT**

**DIRECTOR: KEITH GRONQUIST**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of annual purchase order for Alternate Judge services for Municipal Court (Annual aggregate amount of \$61,139 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$61,139**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Municipal Court/Judges**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for Alternate Judge services for Municipal Court during the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002.

This request is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(b), Professional Services.

During the contract period, additional individual Alternate Judges may be added to provide service as required with prior approval from the Mayor.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve annual purchase order for Alternate Judge services and that the Court Administrator be authorized to execute contracts with said individuals in the aggregate amount of \$61,139.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Alternate Judge List

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Municipal Court

Item 31 – Approval of annual purchase order for Alternate Judge services for Municipal Court

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a HOME funded Direct Housing Rehabilitation Loan in the amount of \$27,710 for James and Sharon Shupp, 1431 Bracken Avenue - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$27,710**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: HOME**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

James and Sharon Shupp are elderly, handicapped homeowners with an annual household income of \$28,044 which qualifies them for a HOME funded Direct Housing Rehabilitation Loan to repair their roof, mechanical system, ceiling, and electrical problems. The \$27,701 requested includes the low contractor's bid amount of \$24,185: \$300 for a Real Estate Appraisal, \$200 for a Preliminary Title Report, \$18 for a Credit Report, \$7 for a Clark County recording fee, and \$3,000 for construction contingency. Terms of the Direct Housing Rehabilitation Loan are 10 years at 3.0% APR.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is the recommendation of the City Manager that the City Council approve the loan and the Direct Loan Agreements relating to this project and authorize the Mayor to sign any and all necessary agreements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Direct Loan Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 32 – Approval of a HOME funded Direct Housing Rehabilitation Loan in the amount of \$27,710 for James and Sharon Shupp

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority to lease facilities at Cashman Field on October 2 and 3, 2001 for the annual Stand Down event and a Request for Waiver to Aramark of their exclusive rights to Concessions - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Each year, the Southern Nevada Homeless Coalition sponsors the Stand Down for the Homeless which highlights the services and programs that are available to meet the needs of homeless persons in southern Nevada. This agreement is for the lease of the facility for that event and a waiver from Aramark, the concessionaire, for the concessions.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is the recommendation of the City Manager to approve the Lease Agreement and Request for Waiver and authorize the Mayor to execute all relevant documents.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Cashman Center Lease Agreement #CF4788
2. Aramark Request for Waiver of Exclusive Rights to Concession

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 33 – Approval of a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority to lease facilities at Cashman Field on October 2 and 3 2001

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to rescind a prior decision of the City Council regarding an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County for Northwest/Lone Mountain Regional Land Use Planning for lands generally located North of Cheyenne Avenue, East of the Puli Road Alignment, West of Decatur Boulevard and South of the Moccasin Road Alignment - Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Council adopted this proposed interlocal agreement at its regular meeting on May 17, 2000. The Clark County Board of Commissioners, at its regular meeting on June 20, 2000, failed to approve the agreement as adopted by the City Council.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement as previously approved

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Pace Realty on behalf of Sahara Palms, LLC, owner (Richfield Boulevard at Sahara Avenue) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The encroachment will consist of a 3' tall x 10' long non-illuminated monument sign on the south end of the southern median on Richfield Boulevard north of the Sahara Avenue intersection advertising the name of Sahara Palms Apartment Homes. As a condition of approval the owner is to assume landscaping maintenance, including supplying water and electricity, to both of the Richfield Boulevard medians. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Richfield Boulevard north of Sahara Avenue
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (Sahara Palms Apartment Homes monument sign-2900 El Camino Avenue

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Public Works

Item 35 – Approval of an encroachment request from Pace Realty on behalf of Sahara Palms, LLC

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Delta Engineering on behalf of Patrick W. Fullmer and Carolee Fullmer, owners (southwest corner of Hammer Lane and Riley Street APN #125-32-601-009) - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect two single-family dwellings, located at the southwest corner of Hammer Lane and Riley Street. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 8" sewer line located in Hammer Lane. The Planning Department has determined that the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and "Petition for Annexation".

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Public Works

Item 36 - Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District – Delta Engineering on behalf of Patrick W. Fullmer and Carolee Fullmer

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Delta Engineering on behalf of Patrick W. Fullmer and Carolee Fullmer, owners (southeast corner of Hammer Lane and Riley Street APN#125-32-602-001) - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect four single family dwellings located on the southeast corner of Hammer Lane and Riley Street. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 8" line located in Hammer Lane. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and "Petition for Annexation".

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Las Vegas Valley Water District, owner (Lorenzi Boulevard between Reggie Circle and Bremerton Circle) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The encroachment will consist of desert landscaping with a drip irrigation system, a 25' wide driveway and an 8' tall block wall fence with wrought-iron gates with a facility sign attached to the fence to enhance the appearance of a water tank facility. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Lorenzi Boulevard between Reggie Circle and Bremerton Circle)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (Fence design for LVVWD facility located at 6628 Brandywine Way)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

1-591

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Shane Dowdy on behalf of Carson Street Investments, LLC, owner (alley between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue) - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to supply 300 Carson Avenue water for a fire line the proposed encroachment will consist of a 6" PVC water line extending approximately 20' under the alley between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue connecting the before mentioned parcel to a 6" Las Vegas Valley Water District double check detector assembly for the proposed First National Bank of Nevada. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (the alley between Carson Avenue and Bridger Avenue)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Pentacore Engineering, Inc. on behalf of Mountain Spa Residential Development, LLC, owner (Buffalo Drive north of Racel Street) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The existing encroachment consists of landscaped medians on Buffalo Drive approximately 3' to 12' wide and extending northward from the Racel Street intersection for approximately 700' consisting of trees and shrubs of various heights and sizes, star jasmine ground cover, and an irrigation system for the Mountain Spa Unit 1 development. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Buffalo Drive north of Racel Street)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - RedRock Engineering & Surveying, Inc. on behalf of Thomas Horito, owner (southeast corner of Butler Street and Helena Avenue APN#138-04-301-039, 138-04-301-038, 138-04-301-037, and 138-04-301-036) - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect four single family dwellings located at the southeast corner of Butler Street and Helena Avenue. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 12" sewer line located in Helena Avenue. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and "Petition for Annexation".

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - John Morrello and Regina Morrello, owners (northeast corner of Helena Avenue and Butler Street APN# 138-04-301-012) - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect four single-family dwellings. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 8" City sewer line located in Craig Road. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and a "Petition for Annexation".

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - LR Nelson Consulting Engineers on behalf of The Ahlstrom Family Trust, Fred and Debra Ahlstrom Trs, owners (southeast corner of Tropical Parkway and Campbell Drive APN#125-29-303-001) - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect four single-family dwellings. The owner proposes to connect to a 12" line currently being installed in Tropical Parkway. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and a "Petition for Annexation".

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal contract with the City of North Las Vegas - LR Nelson Consulting Engineers on behalf of Simon & Lake Properties, LLC, owner (southeast corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and Simmons Street APN#139-20-602-002) - (North Las Vegas)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect a commercial subdivision in North Las Vegas, located at the southeast corner of Simmons Street and Lake Mead Boulevard, to the City of Las Vegas sewer. The developer proposes to connect to an existing 8" line in Lake Mead Boulevard. The site is unable to access the City of North Las Vegas Sanitary Sewer system, as the existing CNLV sewer is located in Simmons Street and is above the invert elevations of the on-site sewer system. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement".

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001 (see individual item)**

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Primas & Associates on behalf of D. R. Horton, Inc., owner (Turkey Lane at Locquat Point Street) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment will consist of shrubs, decorative rock, and a drip irrigation system to be located on the center median of a future traffic circle to satisfy a condition of Z-56-00 for the proposed Meister Park North #2 development. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Turkey Lane @ Locquat Point Street)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Project Engineering Consultants, Ltd. (PEC) for engineering services for the Ogden Avenue Sewer and Pavement Rehabilitation Project from Main Street to 8th Street then 8th Street from Ogden Avenue to Mesquite Avenue (\$98,230.34 - Street Rehabilitation and \$130,802.10 - Sanitation Fund - Total \$229,032.44) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$229,032.44**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Street Rehab./Sanitation Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas (CLV) desires to obtain quality professional services to perform design and preparation of bid documents for both sewer and pavement rehabilitation in Ogden Avenue from Main Street to 8th Street and on 8th Street from Ogden Avenue to Mesquite Avenue.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Engineering Design Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement with the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for the construction and funding of well discharge pipelines and a 12-inch water main to be constructed in conjunction with the Gowan North Channel Phase 3 Project (\$25,000 - Las Vegas Valley Water District) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$25,000**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Las Vegas Valley Water District**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Interlocal Agreement will provide funding for construction of: 1) well discharge pipelines that will connect to the storm drain channel, and 2) a 12-inch water main. The well discharge pipelines will eliminate the hazardous practice of discharging test waters into the streets during flow testing of LVVWD wells, and constructing the 12-inch water main will avoid costly removal and replacement of newly placed pavements and structures if this line is installed after the channel is built. The LVVWD has agreed to reimburse the City in the amount of \$25,000 for this work.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement No. 106297

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Public Works

Item 47 – Approval of an Interlocal Agreement with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for the construction and funding of well discharge pipelines and a 12-inch water main

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sanitary Sewer Refunding Agreement with Northwest 95 LLC for the Farm/US95 Commercial Subdivision (\$154,160 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$154,160

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Sanitation Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Northwest 95, LLC is developing property known as "Farm/US95 Commercial Subdivision". This Sewer Refunding Agreement provides for over-sizing costs for the El Capitan Way sewer from the Centennial Parkway to Bath Drive. This agreement also includes \$78,774 in extension costs from future reimbursable connection fees. The basis for the over-sizing and extension costs are explained on the attached Exhibit "B". This agreement will reimburse the developer for \$154,160 over sizing costs.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Sewer Refunding Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with The WLB, Inc. for the design services of Parson Park located at Alexander Road and Thom Boulevard (\$197,500 - Park Impact Fees) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$197,500

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineers

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Park Impact Fees

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To design a seven (7) acre neighborhood park and recreation site per Memorandum of Understanding #2000-02/Parson between the Clark County School District and City of Las Vegas, consisting of: family picnic areas, children's play area with play equipment and a water feature, a restroom building, turf play areas, novice level skate park and a basketball court.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with The WLB Group, Inc. for the design services of Parson Park in the amount of \$197,500 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$15,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Professional Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**Item 20                      APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**Item 54                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 55                      ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 57                      APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-80-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Sixty-Third Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 707 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessment**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcels are located in Star Canyon by Bellacere LLC.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-80-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-81-2001** - Approval of a Resolution approving the Sixty-Third Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 707 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assesment**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcels are located in Star Canyon by Bellacere LLC

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-81-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items **4-19, 21-53, and 56** – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-82-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Fourth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm sewer/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Ashton Park at the Vistas by Greystone Nevada.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-82-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-83-2001** - Approval of a Resolution approving the Fourth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm sewer/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Ashton Park at the Vistas by Greystone Nevada.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-83-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

<b>Item 20</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 54</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 55</b>	<b>ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001</b> (see individual item)
<b>Item 57</b>	<b>APPROVED under separate action</b> (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-84-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1484 - Alta Drive (Rancho Drive to Valley View Boulevard) (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential driveways, landscaping, irrigation systems, and entry monumentation.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No.R-84-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item Nos. 62 and 83 and ABEY Item Nos. 54 and 55 to 9/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested Item Nos. 54 and 55 be held in abeyance for one month. He stated that it should be Alta Landscaping Maintenance Capital Projects Special Improvement District. He plans to send a letter to all constituents who, for the past two years, have worked on this project, encouraging their input at the August 1, 2001 City Council meeting.

COUNCILMAN BROWN announced that he supports COUNCILMAN McDONALD'S intent regarding both abeyance items and had wanted to participate in the discussions; however, as prior plans for a family vacation have already been confirmed, he asked if the meeting date could be changed to the second week in August to allow him to participate. COUNCILMAN McDONALD agreed to hold these items in abeyance to September 5, 2001.

(9:27 –9:30)

1-477

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-85-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance) (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To defray the annual maintenance costs of certain street beautification improvements located along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to 275 feet west of Lacy Lane.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-85-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item Nos. 62 and 83 and ABEY Item Nos. 54 and 55 to 9/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested Item Nos. 54 and 55 be held in abeyance for one month. He stated that it should be Alta Landscaping Maintenance Capital Projects Special Improvement District. He plans to send a letter to all constituents who, for the past two years, have worked on this project, encouraging their input at the August 1, 2001 City Council meeting.

COUNCILMAN BROWN announced that he supports COUNCILMAN McDONALD'S intent regarding both abeyance items and had wanted to participate in the discussions; however, as prior plans for a family vacation have already been confirmed, he asked if the meeting date could be changed to the second week in August to allow him to participate. COUNCILMAN McDONALD agreed to hold these items in abeyance to September 5, 2001.

(9:27 –9:30)

1-477



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-86-2001** - Approval of a Resolution Making Provisional Order and Directing that the Notice of Public Hearing thereon be given regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1478 - Vegas Drive (Rancho Drive to I-15) (\$216,082.77 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$216,082.77

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund- Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, median islands with left turn lanes and/or continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals and mains, and streetlights.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-86-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 4-19, 21-53, and 56 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

**Item 20**                      **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**Item 54**                      **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 55**                      **ABEYANCE to 9/5/2001** (see individual item)

**Item 57**                      **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:31)

**1-591**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations for an unsolicited offer from KB Home Nevada, Inc. to purchase Parcels 138-09-501-001 and -002, located on the northeast corner of Cimarron and Alexander Roads from the City of Las Vegas - Ward 4 (Brown) and Clark County

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On 5/4/01, CLV received an unsolicited letter of proposal from KB Home Nevada, Inc. (KB). KB is interested in purchasing the above listed parcels from CLV. These parcels were purchased by CLV in an effort to prevent commercial development in the area. KB has already purchased additional property along the corridor to create residential housing. The land has been vacant for decades and the lots are currently zoned U-Undeveloped Land District. Both parcels total approximately 4.6 acres. Parcel 138-09-501-002 is in the County jurisdiction. KB would have to proceed to re-zone through Planning.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/2/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Unsolicited letter of proposal
2. Site map

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested this consent item be pulled forward for discussion to provide information to the Council regarding this parcel. Originally this property was purchased by the City for the linear park expansion out at Wayne Bunker. In the interim, KB Homes purchased property along the same corridor to build a detached residential development. In

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Public Works

Item 57 – Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations for an unsolicited offer from KB Homes Nevada, Inc. to purchase Parcels 138-09-501-001 and 002

**MINUTES – Continued:**

May of this year, KB Homes approached the City with a proposal to purchase the City-owned parcels. The revenue realized from this sale will be returned to the Capital Parks program and made available for those projects that were short on funding and for amenities that were put on hold.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN asked the City Manager's office for a briefing within the next month or so that outlines the policy that drives the Real Estate Division. His particular interest was in the purchase of property for long-term investment. He used the above item to emphasize how an investment could net additional money in a short period of time.

(9:32 – 9:36)

**1-676**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE****DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action authorizing the City Manager to send a letter to the Governor requesting that he review his decision to delegate air quality regulation and enforcement to Clark County, in light of the impacts to the residents of Las Vegas, and take any other actions as deemed appropriate

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The SNRPC and local entities have adopted resolutions supporting a new, independent air quality agency to be established by interlocal agreement. The SNRPC sponsored legislation, SB 536, to create the new agency which was passed by both houses of the legislature. SB 357, which would have provided funding for the new agency through increased smog check fees, failed to pass the Assembly. The Governor subsequently vetoed SB 536. On June 21, 2001, the Governor designated the Board of County Commissioners of Clark County as the lead agency responsible for coordinating air quality issues in Clark County, disregarding the adopted resolutions of support for the creation of the independent regional air quality agency.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Discuss and direct City Manager accordingly.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Governor's designation letter dated 6/21/01
2. SNRPC Air Quality Transition Management Interlocal Agreement
3. District Board of Health memo dated 6/28/01

**MOTION:**

**REESE – MOTION to check with our sister Cities including Boulder City to determine whether or not they support the City's stand to go forward and for the City Manager to proceed as soon as possible with a letter requesting a meeting with Governor Guinn – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

City Manager's Office

Item 58 – Discussion and possible action authorizing the City Manager to send a letter to the Governor requesting that he review his decision to delegate air quality regulation and enforcement to Clark County, in light of the impacts to the residents of Las Vegas and take any other actions as deemed appropriate.

**MOTION – Continued:**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE requested the meeting date with the Governor be stepped up.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested a meeting with other municipalities before meeting with the governor.

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript of Item 58 [Discussion and possible action authorizing the City Manager to send a letter to the Governor requesting that he review his decision to delegate air quality regulation and enforcement to Clark County] is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

DOUGLAS SELBY, Deputy City Manager

(9:36 – 9:51)

**1-793**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to rescind the vote of June 6, 2001, imposing a moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session following Item #99 - Site Development Plan Review SD-0076-00**)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Submitted at meeting - A letter of disclosure of conflict of interest by COUNCILMAN MACK

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED the Rescission – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because DAVID CHESNOFF of his law office had dealings with this particular matter, M. McDONALD abstaining because Attorneys ANTHONY SGRO and DEAN PATTI are his attorneys and MACK excused with a letter of disclosure of conflict of interest**

NOTE: A COMBINED verbatim Transcript of Item 59 [Rescind Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills], Item 60 [Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills], Item 98 [SD-0076-00-Rescind] and Item 99 [SD-0076-00] is made a part of the final minutes under Item 99 [SD-0076-00]

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

City Attorney

Item 59 – Discussion and possible action to rescind the vote of June 6, 2001, imposing a moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills

**MINUTES:**

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

MIKE BELLON, 7300 Stoney Shore Drive

ANTHONY SGRO, Attorney

DEAN PATTI, Attorney

LLOYD HARGIS, 6666 West Washington

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, Meadow Village

NOTE: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE requested that all records from the previous meeting opposing or supporting this item be put into today's record.

(9:51/1:12 – 2:36)

**1-1341/3-85**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills  
(NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session following Item #99 - Site Development Plan Review SD-0076-00)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Submitted at meeting - A letter of disclosure of conflict of interest by COUNCILMAN MACK

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because DAVID CHESNOFF of his law office had dealings with this particular matter, M. McDONALD abstaining because Attorneys ANTHONY SGRO and DEAN PATTI are his attorneys and MACK excused with a letter of disclosure of conflict of interest**

NOTE: A COMBINED verbatim Transcript of Item 59 [Rescind Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills], Item 60 [Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills], Item 98 [SD-0076-00-Rescind] and Item 99 [SD-0076-00] is made a part of the final minutes under Item 99 [SD-0076-00]



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

City Attorney

Item 60 – Discussion and possible action regarding a moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills

**MINUTES:**

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

MIKE BELLON, 7300 Stoney Shore Drive

ANTHONY SGRO, Attorney

DEAN PATTI, Attorney

LLOYD HARGIS, 6666 West Washington

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, Meadow Village

NOTE: CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC announced that the Public Hearing was held on June 6, 2001.

NOTE: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE requested that all records from the previous meeting opposing or supporting this item be put into today's record.

(9:51/1:12 – 2:36)

**1-1341/3-85**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Held in Abeyance from June 20, 2001. Keith L. Wines, 1720 Walnut, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – STRICKEN – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

SGT. REGAN ALEXANDER explained that this item has been held in abeyance since May 2001 and recommended it be stricken.

There was no further discussion

(9:52)

**1-1345**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Kathy Marie Vallandingham, 2637 Lotus Hill Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Item Nos. 62 and 83 and ABEY Item Nos. 54 and 55 to 9/5/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:27 –9:30)

**1-477**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to settle A & B Asbestos Abatement, Inc. v. Southwest Paving & Grading, Inc. (Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff) v. City of Las Vegas (Third-Party Defendant), Eighth Judicial District Court Case No. A400295, for \$73,500

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$73,500☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Dept. of Neighborhood Services☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1998, Michael Kinzler attempted to move 52 apartment buildings from the Sierra Nevada Arms Apartment complex outside of the City of Las Vegas. Instead, the buildings were moved within the City of Las Vegas without the landowner's permission. The City declared the buildings a nuisance and contracted with Southwest to demolish the buildings for \$163,000. Since the buildings contained asbestos tiles, Southwest retained A & B Asbestos for abatement. Prior to this, an accidental fire destroyed one of the structures. Staff instructed Southwest to immediately cleanup the fire damage and bill the City for additional costs. These costs have not yet been paid by the City; however, the City has received \$160,000 from the federal government for the cleanup. The City Attorney's Office has negotiated with Southwest and recommends settlement of this matter for the sum of \$73,500.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:****REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused****MINUTES:**

CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC explained that the City contracted Southwest Paving & Grading, Inc. to demolish 52 apartment units that were illegally moved within City limits. Due to fire damage to some of the units, Southwest Paving & Grading, Inc. retained A&B Asbestos Abatement, Inc. to perform asbestos abatement. Staff recommends approval to settle any outstanding bills.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

City Attorney

Item 63 – Discussion and possible action to settle A & B Asbestos, Inc. v. Southwest Paving & Grading, Inc. v. City of Las Vegas

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

(9:52 – 9:53)

1-1373

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Rd., Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #120 - Special Use Permit U-0031-00**) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 8/1/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Albertson's. ATTORNEY GRONAUER requested that Item 120 [U-0031-00], Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Of-sale Liquor License] and 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots] be held in abeyance for two weeks so that he can resolve some issues with staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 64 – **ABEYANCE ITEM** – Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's Inc., dba Albertson's Express

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots], Item 66 [Package Liquor License], Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots], Item 120 [U-0031-00] and Item 121 [U-0032-00], was held under Item 120 [U-0031-00].

(9:53/3:26 – 3:32)

**1-1412/4-1240**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #120 - Special Use Permit U-0031-00**) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval of the Special Use Permit and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 8/1/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Albertson's. ATTORNEY GRONAUER requested that Item 120 [U-0031-00], Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Of-sale Liquor License] and 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots] be held in abeyance for two weeks so that he can resolve some issues with staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 65 – Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, dba Albertson's Express

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots], Item 66 [Package Liquor License], Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots], Item 120 [U-0031-00] and Item 121 [U-0032-00], was held under Item 120 [U-0031-00].

(9:53/3:26 – 3:32)

**1-1412/4-1240**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's #6061, 4850 West Craig Rd., Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #121 - Special Use Permit U-0032-00**) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of a new Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Albertson's. However, he requested that Items 121 [U-0032-00], Item 66 [Package Liquor License] and Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots] go forward.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 66 – Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's Inc., dba Albertson's #6061

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots], Item 66 [Package Liquor License], Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots], Item 120 [U-0031-00] and Item 121 [U-0032-00], was held under Item 120 [U-0031-00].

(9:53/3:26 – 3:32)

**1-1412/4-1240**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's #6061, 4850 West Craig Road, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #121 - Special Use Permit U-0032-00**) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval of the Special Use Permit and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Albertson's. However, he requested that Items 121 [U-0032-00], Item 66 [Package Liquor License] and Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots] go forward.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 67 – Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, dba as Albertson's

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License], Item 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots], Item 66 [Package Liquor License], Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots], Item 120 [U-0031-00] and Item 121 [U-0032-00], was held under Item 120 [U-0031-00].

(9:53/3:26 – 3:32)

**1-1412/4-1240**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of an Independent Massage Therapist License, Keith Oyamot, dba The Gentle Giant, 4579 Baby Bird Lane, Keith O. Oyamot, 100% - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be given following discussion of the report given by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED with no further conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

JIM DiFIORE stated that although MR. OYAMOT was not present they would be able to proceed. SGT. REGAN ALEXANDER presented the results of the one-year review investigation stating that there were no derogatory findings or problem areas. MR. DiFIORE recommended approval with no further conditions.

(9:54)

**1-1417**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR:** DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Buffalo Drive and Sunny Springs Lane - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On June 13, 2001, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Sunny Springs Park for the park site known as Betsy Rhodes Park site located at Buffalo Drive and Sunny Springs Lane. The vote by the Board was unanimous to recommend the name to City Council

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED naming the park “Sunny Springs Park”– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director of Leisure Services, stated that Sunny Springs Park was the recommended name for the park that is now known as Betsy Rhodes Park. The residents were notified and suggestions were made; however, the Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission reviewed all the suggestions and made their recommendation.

There was no further discussion.

(9:54 – 9:56)

**1-1462**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Tropical Parkway/Serene Drive and Rebecca Road/Azure Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On May 9, 2001, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Sheep Mountain View Park for the park site known as Joe Neal Park site located between Serene Drive/Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road/Azure Drive. The vote by the Board was unanimous to recommend the name to City Council

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE TO 7/18/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director of Leisure Services, stated that the Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission has recommended Sheep Mountain View Park for the park site known as Joe Neal Park.

COUNCILMAN BROWN declared that he understood a recommendation was being considered to name the park Estelle Neal Park after the deceased wife of the late Senator Joe Neal.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Leisure Services

Item 70 – Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Tropical Parkway/Serene Drive and Rebecca Road/Azure Drive

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if there was a set policy regarding naming of city parks. DR. JACKSON clarified that there is a Parks and Recreation Subcommittee that looks at all name submittals. Their policy has been to name parks after individuals who have made contributions to the community and have been deceased a minimum of two years. DR. JACKSON affirmed that although the Subcommittee makes recommendations, the final decision is made by the Council.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if the current policy on naming city parks could be changed. He felt that recognition should be given to people who make contributions to the community while they are still able and alive to accept and appreciate them. DR. JACKSON mentioned that she has brought this subject up to the Subcommittee, but discovered that it is that board's general consensus to name parks after people who are deceased. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned whether it would be advisable for him to submit a letter to the board asking for reconsideration of their policy regarding this topic. DR. JACKSON said he would be most welcome to submit a letter.

There was no further discussion.

(9:54 – 9:56)

**1-1462**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the Neighborhood Partners Fund Board recommendations to fund 20 Neighborhood Partners Fund projects in the amount of \$75,000 - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$75,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: NS/Planning & Support**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Neighborhood Partners Fund (NPF) is designed to assist citizens in improving the quality of life in City of Las Vegas neighborhoods and directly responds to one of the City Council's priorities established in accordance with the City of Las Vegas Strategic Plan 2005: To Enhance the Quality of Life through Revitalization of Established Neighborhoods.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The NPF Board recommends that the City Council approve the funding of 20 self help projects that will improve the physical, cultural, safety, or educational conditions of their neighborhood for a total of \$75,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. FY2002 NPF Board Recommendations

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended by the Neighborhood Partners Fund Board (NPFB) – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director of Neighborhood Services, introduced MARIA CASTILLO-COUCH of her staff, who has worked with the Neighborhood Partners Fund Board for a number of years. MS. CASTILLO-COUCH spoke of the various projects that were approved to receive grants. They include two youth programs, various neighborhood beautification projects, a communication/capacity building project and two safety and security projects.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 71 – Discussion and possible action on the Neighborhood Partners Fund board recommendations to fund 20 Neighborhood Partners Fund projects in the amount of \$75,000

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MS. CASTILLO-COUCH was pleased to call upon TONY BRUGES, who in turn introduced representatives from various neighborhoods to speak on behalf of the Neighborhood Partners Fund. BETTY CRAIK representing Meadows Neighborhood Preservation was the first speaker. She said that her organization was founded in 1960 and consists of a number of older members. She pointed out that her community plans to do a major cleanout, and because there are more seniors living in that area, they are soliciting the help of volunteers to assist with the renovations and clean up.

JIM DAVEY, representing Marble Gorge expressed his gratitude on behalf of his neighbors. He commented that his neighborhood cohorts were so pleased with the direction and leadership they received from COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD especially in coordinating and assembling all the involved parties to provide information that the residents were able to understand.

WES ISBUTT of Gateway Arts said that these programs are the best one could ask for. The incentives alone are a great way to persuade people to help themselves.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, President of the Northwest Network of Neighborhoods, stated that she was proud to receive this grant. The purpose and goal of her organization is to increase communication between citizens and elected officials by educating everyone and providing information necessary to get the point across to officials.

VIRGINIA POWERS was delighted to receive this grant. She explained that with the inception of the Neighborhood Partners Fund program, many opportunities have been provided to persuade residents to get on board and fix up their homes.

In summarizing this presentation, MS. CASTILLO-COUCH directed the viewing audience and to those present to call Neighborhood Services if they wish like to participate.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his pleasure at seeing the very people who they, as city officials represent, take an active role and participate in programs designed to improve the quality of life within the City of Las Vegas.

There was no further discussion.

(9:59 – 10:12)

**1-2169**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a six month moratorium on acceptance of any and all new applications for general plan amendments, zone changes, variances, site development plan reviews and special use permits for the area generally bounded by US Highway 95 on the North, Interstate Highway 15 on the East, Valley View Drive on the West, and Sahara Avenue on the South - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Council has requested this moratorium to allow staff sufficient time to develop a land use plan to preserve the historic and residential character of this neighborhood, including Las Vegas Springs.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Site Area Map

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED** subject to the following conditions:

- **Determine the exact zoning to be allowed in this area**
- **Develop a master plan for this area**
- **Address the concerns of the neighbors**
- **Determine what the RTC's plans for this area are regarding streets and highways and indicate this on a site area map illustration for the neighbors**

**– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. GENZER to provide updates: the first to be provided three months from the date of the last Council meeting of June 20, 2001 and the second to be provided two months thereafter.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 72 - Six-month moratorium on acceptance of any and all new applications for general plan amendments, zone changes, variances, site development plan reviews and special use permits for the area generally bounded by US Highway 95 on the North, Interstate Highway 15 on the East, Valley View drive on the West, and Sahara Avenue on the South

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. GENZER to contact the Regional Transportation Commission prior to the expiration of the moratorium and from them obtain any anticipated plan proposals for streets and highways

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested that prior to the expiration of the six-month moratorium a neighborhood meeting be held .

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested CITY COUNCIL look at the prospect of establishing maintenance standards similar to those adopted by the City of Pasadena that require property owners to maintain their front lawns.

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript of Item 72 [Six-month moratorium on acceptance of any and all new applications for general plan amendments, zone changes, variances, site development plan reviews and special use permits for the area generally bounded by US Highway 95 on the North, Interstate Highway 15 on the East, Valley View drive on the West, and Sahara Avenue on the South] is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development

DOUGLAS SELBY, Deputy City Manager

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

(10:12 – 10:27)

**1-2169**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action on a request for the permanent closure of Peseo Cresta Avenue at Hualapai Way (\$5,000 - Non-Signal Intersection Improvement Fund) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$5000.00

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Non-Signal Intersection Improvement Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A study conducted in this area prior to the 90-day trial closure showed the blind curve on Hualapai Way for drivers turning into and out of Peseo Cresta Avenue to be a major safety concern. During the 90-day trial closure the study showed that the amount of traffic within the affected neighborhood was well within the street design limits. Staff is recommending that the closure be made permanent.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff Recommendation: Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**L. McDONALD – APPROVED** subject to staff and Traffic and Parking Commission recommendations – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(10:27 – 10:28)

**1-2909**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS**    ☐ **CONSENT**    ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS &amp; COMMISSIONS

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Earle W. White, Jr., Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Robert J. Fleming, Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Linda Young, Term Expiration 5-12-2001

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1993, the previous Ethics Committee was abolished and a new Ethics Review Board was established consisting of five members, terms of four years and no limit to the number of terms which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 shall appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Earle White, appointed in 1997 by Mayor Jones, has served as Chair and Robert Fleming, appointed in 1997 by Councilman Reese, has served as Vice Chair of this Board. Ward 4 Councilman Callister appointed Linda Young in 1997. Mr. White, Mr. Fleming and Ms. Young are eligible for reappointment. At the May 16, 2001 Council Meeting, Mayor Pro Tem Reese requested abeyance of this matter until the meeting of July 5, 2001 pending review by the City Attorney. It was noted that these members continue to serve pending final action.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Options are:

Mayor Goodman to reappoint Mr. White OR appoint another citizen as Chairman;  
Councilman Reese to reappoint Mr. Fleming OR appoint another citizen as member;  
Councilman Brown to reappoint Ms. Young OR appoint another citizen as member.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Current Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board  
Board Interest Forms – James Akers and Renn Reed

**MOTION:**

**L. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 8/1/2001 pending action by the Council on Bill No. 2001-69 and Bill No. 2001-70 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

City Clerk

Item 74 – **ABEYANCE ITEM** ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Earle W. White, Jr., Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Robert J. Fleming, Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Linda Young, Term Expiration 5-12-2001

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked that this item be held pending Council action on two new bills which she introduced that are relevant to this item.

MAYOR GOODMAN requested copies of those bills be sent to the members currently serving on the Ethics Committee for their review.

There was no further discussion.

(10:28 – 10:29)

**1-2950**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 11:00 AM: **ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action to select a developer and development concept for the 61 acres, the subject of an RFQ (Request for Qualifications) process, located at 100 South Grand Central Parkway, South of Ogden and East of Grand Central Parkway - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Business Development

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to facilitate the development of the 61-acre parcel known as 100 South Grand Central Parkway, the Review Panel has finalized the list of candidates. At the June 25, 2001 Special City Council Meeting, the developers made presentations regarding their respective development concepts and financial ability to carry out the concept, phasing, etc. At the request of City Council, the item was abeyed to July 5, 2001.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that Council select a final developer for the 61-acre parcel

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. RFQ Timeline
2. Site Map
3. Disclosure of Principals
4. Developers Submittals and Presentation Material from the 6/25/01 Special City Council Meeting

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN –APPROVE** the selection of Southwest Sports Realty LP as the Master Developer subject to the condition that an agreement be reached within 60 days – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** abstaining because he is an employee of Mandalay Sports locally, and **L.B. McDONALD** abstaining because she is a consultant with the University of Nevada School of Medicine, and **MACK** excused.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Business Development

Item 75 – Discussion and possible action to select a developer and development concept for the 61 acres located 100 South Grand Central Parkway

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he had been notified by LAS VEGAS STUDIOS that they decided, rather than having their development proposal be rejected, they preferred to withdraw their proposal in favor of looking at other properties.

MAYOR GOODMAN conveyed that he felt the selection of a developer for this property was one of the most significant and extremely important decisions regarding the revitalization of downtown since he was elected into office. He mulled over the idea of multi-developers, but felt that would be unfair. MAYOR GOODMAN firmly stated that the City will make all final decisions and it will be up to the selected developer to satisfy those conditions. He thanked all of the developers for their project concept presentations and moved to select Southwest Sports Realty, LP as the Master Developer stating that they have 60 days to reach an agreement. If this condition is not complied with, he hoped that the other applicants would be available to pick up.

There was no further discussion.

(10:29/11:01 – 11:04)

**1-2997/2-783**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-52** – Establishes a list of uses that may be permitted in the C-V Zoning District by means of special use permit. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The C-V (Civic) Zoning District allows certain traditional governmental uses as a matter of right. However, the Zoning Code contains no specific treatment of uses allowable in that district by means of special use permit—as was the case with the previous zoning regulations in Title 19. This bill will amend the Zoning Code to list the uses that may be permitted in the C-V District by means of special use permit.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 7/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/18/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/6/2001; First Publication – 6/22/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-52

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – REFERRAL to 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee Meeting and ABEYANCE to 7/18/2001 City Council meeting – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

CHRIS KNIGHT, Deputy Director of Planning and Development, explained that Bill No. 2001-52 addresses the various uses allowed in the C-V (Civic) zone by means of special use permits. COUNCILMAN McDONALD took issue with this item, questioning why is it necessary to add the tavern and casino uses in areas that are zoned for civic uses.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

City Attorney

Item 76 – Bill No. 2001-52

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. KNIGHT explained that in anticipation of potential applications such as golf courses that might have liquor establishments within, this would provide revenue opportunities by having these options available.

COUNCILMAN BROWN interjected that this particular bill was considered at the request of the golf course RFQ that envisioned a tavern as part of a restaurant component. Under the existing code, beer and wine is prohibited. With CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC affirming that no site limitations were set in this ordinance, COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that the way the bill was written was for that intent; however, it can be revisited to make it specific. COUNCILMAN McDONALD agreed that this item should be held to make it specific. CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC clarified that the item could be addressed at this meeting or be sent back to the Recommending Committee for review and discussion and based on their recommendation, it can then be forwarded to City Council on July 18, 2001 for action. He also said that if this bill were to pass today, it could be amended in any way that the Council felt necessary; however, if it did pass it would require a special use permit for those uses; therefore, it would not be an indicator that if the bill passed, you would automatically be granted a limited restricted gaming license or a tavern license. The bottom line is that the applicant would still need to come before Council for a decision.

CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE explained that there are a number of other uses besides golf courses, such as performing arts centers and small sports arenas that could be included in the civic-zoned areas that can generate revenue for the City. MAYOR GOODMAN said he envisioned restaurants and small cafes.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, stated that in the C-V zone, the rules are not as hard and steadfast as with the commercial zones because there is more flexibility in utilizing that zoning classification.

Finally, COUNCILMAN BROWN clarified that once again this proposed bill was introduced for the golf course, not just civic land owned by the City; it includes BLM land, it does reference an 80-acre or larger parcel.

There was no further discussion.

(10:29 – 10:43)

**1-3009**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-53** – Adopts the Population Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Last September the City Council adopted the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. At the time of that adoption, the element related to population was not complete, so the population element of the previous plan was retained in effect until an updated version could be adopted. This bill will adopt the updated population element and incorporate it into the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 7/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/18/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/6/2001; First Publication – 6/22/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-53 and incorporated Population Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 7/18/2001 meeting – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, stated that in reference to the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan, this presentation proposes a revision to the population element of that plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

City Attorney

Item 77 – Bill No. 2001-53

**MINUTES – Continued:**

CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, Deputy Director of Planning and Development presented a brief history on the population expansion of the Valley stating that in 1990, Las Vegas was the sixty-third largest city in the United States. Within the past ten years, the population increase was a quarter of a million new residents changing Las Vegas' standing to thirty-second largest city in the United States.

MR. KNIGHT stated that the 2020 Master Plan addresses the policies related to growth and development within the City. The City's objective is to look at the population and focus on new development as well as revitalizing the downtown area and existing neighborhoods. With the population growth over the past few decades, the City of Las Vegas has become the largest incorporated entity, with the City of Henderson in close contention. As vacant land is developed throughout the Valley, the City will have a smaller share of the growth and development because it will be restricted by the City of North Las Vegas, the County to the south and the southwest, Redrock National Conservation and the Northwest-Northeast Desert National Wildlife Refuge. The only opportunity available would require congressional action. So, the City needs to look at reorganization, downtown population growth, re-urbanization and revitalization. As mandated by State Law, population estimates are required to determine whether or not the natural resources of the City will support the growth.

Staff recommends Council approval of the adoption of the population element.

In terms of revitalization of existing neighborhoods, COUNCILMAN REESE asked if the City could mandate property owners to maintain and upkeep their yards. MR. KNIGHT expressed that that subject had been touched upon in some of the planning circles that he's attended; however, the private property rights of individuals must be considered. COUNCILMAN McDONALD added that he knows of a proposed ordinance, possibly in Pasadena, California, that has such a requirement. He believes the ordinance contained different variables, but it required the property owner to maintain his yard or be issued a citation.

In reaffirming that the adoption of the population element would be exactly as proposed in verbatim form, MAYOR GOODMAN asked that this item be held in abeyance to allow for further review.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY CLERK RONEMUS to forward the population element proposal and backup to his office.

(10:43 – 10:53)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-54** – Establishes an expedited procedure for the use of vehicle immobilizing devices under certain circumstances. Proposed by: Michael Sheldon, Director of Detention and Enforcement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \***

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Presently, the immobilization or "booting" of vehicles in connection with parking violations requires court action. Making the process available administratively will help collect unpaid parking fines and discourage parking violations. This bill proposes to allow the booting of a vehicle without court action, where the owner of the vehicle has accumulated more than \$500 in unpaid fines or has at least 5 outstanding infractions.

\* Undetermined increase in enforcement costs, to be offset by increased collections.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 7/5/2001 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 6/18/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/6/2001; First Publication – 6/22/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-54 - First Amendment

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5334 – with MACK excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication.





CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

City Attorney

Item 78 – Bill No. 2001-64

**MINUTES:**

MIKE SHELDON, Director of Detention and Enforcement, explained that this new bill targets outstanding fines and citations. The ordinance allows the City to immobilize vehicles using a process called booting if outstanding fines in excess of \$500 are not paid or several citations have been issued. Even with the establishment of this new procedure, citizens will be given additional notification to prevent their vehicles from being booted. He was optimistic that this would encourage people to pay their fines. If a vehicle is booted, removal would be contingent upon payment of the outstanding fines.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, asked about the cost of the boots, how many would be purchased and the likelihood that they would not be used that often, that they would be stored and become rusted. SGT. MERLE JENSEN of the Parking Enforcement Unit confirmed that \$9,500 was budgeted for equipment and supplies. The prices vary according to the type of boots you purchase, but normally the prices range from \$200 to \$300 apiece.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked MR. SHELDON and his staff for attending several of the Neighborhood Town Hall meetings and providing valuable information to the general public by way of his presentation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that many of the attendees were awed by the fact that the City had between an eight to ten million-dollar deficit as a result of outstanding fines.

(10:53 – 11:00)

**2-392**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-58** – Amends the Zoning Code to allow withdrawal management facilities in certain zoning districts either as a permitted use or a conditional use. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will create a new category of use, withdrawal management facility, to address the short-term treatment of persons who are in an acute stage of withdrawal from alcohol or drug dependency. Because the use involves a limited stay and does not include ongoing outpatient or rehabilitation services, it is a less intense use than a special care facility. This bill will allow withdrawal management facilities as a permitted use in the C-2 and C-M Districts. In addition, it will allow those facilities as a conditional use in the U and C-1 Districts, if limited to no more than 25 beds.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 7/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/2/2001 Recommending Committee although it was forwarded to Full Council without a recommendation due to lack of a voting quorum

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 6/23/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-58

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5335 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-56** – Annexation No. A-0023-00(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Alexander Road approximately 300 feet east of Cimarron Road; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 2.65 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the south side of Alexander Road approximately 300 feet east of Cimarron Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS, and the final date of annexation (July 27, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 7/18/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/2/2001 Recommending Committee although it was forwarded to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee due to lack of a voting quorum

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 7/6/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-57** – Adopts the Housing Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Last September the City Council adopted the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. At the time of that adoption, the element related to housing was not complete, so the housing element of the previous plan was retained in effect until an updated version could be adopted. This bill will adopt the updated housing element and incorporate it into the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 7/18/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/2/2001 Recommending Committee although it was forwarded to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee due to lack of a voting quorum

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 7/6/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-59** – Adopts development agreement with Triad Development, LLC for the development of property within the Summerlin Village Center Area. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Last February the City Council approved a development agreement with Triad Development, LLC for the development of property within the Summerlin Village Center Area. Pursuant to State law, such an agreement must be adopted by ordinance. This bill will accomplish that adoption.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 7/18/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/2/2001 Recommending Committee, although it was forwarded to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee due to lack of a voting quorum

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – 7/6/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-60** – Amends the Zoning Code to establish minimum development size requirements for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack (**NOTE: This item to be stricken**)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Zoning Code currently allows new motor vehicle sales as a conditional use. This bill will amend the Code to add an additional condition for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. The additional condition establishes a minimum development size requirement of at least fifty acres, to include at least five new car dealerships.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

STRICKEN at 7/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/2/2001 Recommending Committee although, it was forwarded to Full Council without a recommendation due to lack of a voting quorum

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – Not published

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to bring forward and **STRIKE** Item Nos. 62 and 83 and **ABEY** Item Nos. 54 and 55 to 9/5/2001 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** excused with a letter of disclosure of conflict of interest for Item 83

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:27 –9:30)

**1-477**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-61** – Creates a new misdemeanor crime for individuals who sell drug paraphernalia in the City of Las Vegas. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar Goodman

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Forwarded to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee due to lack of a voting quorum

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – Not Published

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

7/18/2001 Council Agenda



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-62** – Readopts LVMC 10.02.010 to make all State misdemeanors likewise City misdemeanors. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Pursuant to LVMC 10.02.010, any offense that constitutes a misdemeanor under State law is also a misdemeanor under City law if it is committed within the corporate boundaries of the City. This permits prosecution of such an offense in Municipal Court. In order to assure that LVMC 10.02.010 is interpreted to incorporate State-law changes made during the 71<sup>st</sup> Session of the Nevada Legislature, LVMC 10.02.010 should be readopted. This bill will accomplish that objective.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Forwarded to the 7/16/2001 Recommending Committee due to lack of a voting quorum

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 6/20/2001; First Publication – Not Published

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-63** – Annexation No. A-0021-01(A) – Property Location: South of Lone Mountain Road, between Balsam Street and Rainbow Boulevard; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 2.39 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (PR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located south of Lone Mountain Road, between Balsam Street and Rainbow Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 10, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-63 and Location Map

**MINUTES**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/16/2001 Recommending Committee

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

(10:59)

**2-650**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-64** – Revises the minimum standards for auto paint and body repair shops in the C-2 Zoning District. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Auto paint and body repair shops currently are allowed in the C-2 Zoning District by means of special use permit, but only in conjunction with a car dealership. It appears that "stand-alone" paint and body shops might also be appropriately allowed by special use permit, if certain minimum standards are met. This bill will accomplish that change.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-64

**MINUTES**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/16/2001 Recommending Committee

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

(10:59)

**2-650**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

**Bill No. 2001-65** – Increases the on-site parking requirements for general retail centers of less than 25,000 square feet. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

General retail centers such as shopping centers currently are required to provide at least one onsite parking space for each 250 square feet of gross floor area. It turns out that smaller retail centers tend to be deficient in parking because of the way that development and leasing occurs. This bill will remedy that situation by requiring retail centers of less than 25,000 square feet to provide one parking space for each 175 square feet of gross floor area.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-65

**MINUTES**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/16/2001 Recommending Committee

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

(10:59)

**2-650**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-66** – Amends the Zoning Code to require the submittal of a cross section in connection with the development of sites with a natural grade over 4%. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will require the submittal of a cross section in connection with the development of sites with a natural grade over 4%. The submittal of the cross section during the application process will allow the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works the opportunity to address concerns associated with any grade alterations that are proposed in connection with the development.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-66

**MINUTES**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/16/2001 Recommending Committee

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

(10:59)

**2-650**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-67** – Repeals LVMC Title 19, related to zoning. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Several years ago, Title 19A was adopted so as to include most of the City's zoning and related regulations. Title 19 was retained in force to provide "gap" coverage for any provisions that might inadvertently be left out of Title 19A. Those items have now been identified and included in Title 19A. This bill will repeal Title 19, which is no longer necessary.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-67

**MINUTES**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/16/2001 Recommending Committee

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

(10:59)

**2-650**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-68** – Reduces to thirty-five percent the amount of adult inventory necessary to classify a bookstore as an adult bookstore and an adult emporium as a sexually oriented business. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Zoning Code presently treats an adult emporium as a sexually oriented business for locational purposes. The term "adult emporium" includes bookstores, video stores and other outlets whose inventory is made up of at least 51% adult material. This bill will reduce that threshold amount to 35%. The bill will also make a parallel adjustment in the definition of "adult bookstore" in the licensing regulations.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-68

**MINUTES**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/16/2001 Recommending Committee

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

(10:59)

**2-650**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-69** – Repeals LVMC Chapter 2.51, relating to ethics. Sponsored by:  
Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill would repeal LVMC Chapter 2.51, relating to ethics. Under this proposal, State law would govern ethics matters in the future.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-69

**MINUTES**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/16/2001 Recommending Committee

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC re-read the bill stating that there was a misprint and it should have referenced Title 2.51.

(10:59)

**2-650**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-70** – Amends LVMC Chapter 2.51 to revise the standards and procedures relating to ethics complaints. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill would amend LVMC Chapter 2.51 to revise the standards and procedures relating to ethics complaints.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-70

**MINUTES**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

7/16/2001 Recommending Committee

7/18/2001 Council Agenda

(10:59)

**2-650**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CLOSED SESSION – To Be Held at Conclusion of Morning Session**

Upon a duly carried Motion, a closed meeting is called in accordance with NRS 241.030 to discuss the City Auditor's Annual Performance Review

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Open Meeting law permits the City Council to conduct closed sessions for – among other reasons – discussion and evaluation of the character and professional competence of a person. While all decisions and actual deliberations regarding compensation and employment must be made during the public agenda item which follows the closed session, these private meetings permit candid conversations which might not be appropriate for a public meeting. Minutes of a closed session must be generated in the same fashion as the public portion of the agenda, but the minutes are confidential unless the person who is the subject of the closed session chooses to release them.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – MOTION to go into closed session to discuss the City Auditor's Annual Performance Review in accordance with NRS 241.030 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

NOTE: See Item No. 3 for related discussion.

(11:04)

*City of Las Vegas*

2-848

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 108 [SD-0012-01], Item 130 [Z-0106-00] and Item 131 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/18/01 and Item 122 [U-0034-01] to 8/15/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

Item 122 [U-0034-01] was requested by the applicant that it be held in abeyance to the 7/18/01 City Council meeting. However, COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified that the item be held to the 8/15/01 City Council meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(1:10 – 1:12)

**3-37**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**  
**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

***CONSENT AGENDA***

NO ITEMS

***DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS***

***NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.:***

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 95 ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0013-01** - Grand Teton & El Capitan, Limited Liability Companies

***NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.:***

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0013-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 96 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0023-01** - Grand Teton & El Capitan, Limited Liability Companies

***NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.:***

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO GPA-0013-01 AND Z-0023-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 97 ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0014-01** - Grand Teton & El Capitan, Limited Liability Companies

RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

- 98 SD-0076-00** - Jae Sun Kim on behalf of John Staluppi

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

- 99 SD-0076-00** - Jae Sun Kim on behalf of John Staluppi  
**100 Z-0089-87(5)** - University Board of Regents

REVIEW OF CONDITION - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 101 Z-0078-99(2)** - PLK, Limited Liability Company on behalf of General Equities Corporation

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of July 5, 2001

- MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
- 102 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0016-98(4) - William Lyon Homes**
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 103 ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0011-01 - William Lyon Homes**
- REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) AND GPA-0011-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 104 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0025-01 - William Lyon Homes**
- MAJOR MODIFICATION - LONE MOUNTAIN WEST MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
- 105 Z-0024-99(23) - Southwest Desert Equities, Limited Liability Company**
- MAJOR MODIFICATION - RIO VISTA PLAZA DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
- 106 Z-0074-97(11) - Rio Vista Plaza, Limited Liability Company**
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0074-97(11) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 107 Z-0074-97(10) - Rio Vista Plaza, Limited Liability Company**
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
- 108 ABEYANCE ITEM - SD-0012-01 - Astoria Iron Mountain, Limited Liability Company**
- 109 Z-0018-01(1), Z-0109-97(1) AND Z-0110-97(2) - Becker Realty, Inc.**
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
- 110 VAC-0005-01 - G T 95, Limited Liability Company**
- 111 VAC-0008-01 - Albert D. Massi on behalf of KB Home Nevada**
- 112 VAC-0009-01 - Patrick PHD, Limited Partnership**
- 113 VAC-0010-01 - Howard Hughes Corporation**
- MASTER PLAN OF STREETS AND HIGHWAYS AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 114 MSH-0001-01 - City of Las Vegas**

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of July 5, 2001

RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 115 V-0010-01** - 5 Star Properties-Charleston & Rancho on behalf of University Medical Center of Southern Nevada

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 116 V-0010-01** - 5 Star Properties-Charleston & Rancho on behalf of University Medical Center of Southern Nevada

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 117 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0296-94(2)** - K & J Partnership on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 118 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0271-93(2)** - Szechwan Corporation on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising Company

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 119 U-0043-94(2)** - Village, Inc. on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 120 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0031-00** - American Stores Properties, Inc.  
**121 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0032-00** - American Stores Properties, Inc.  
**122 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0034-01** - T.J.P. Nevada, Limited Partnership on behalf of Seiler, Inc.  
**123 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0044-01** - Foster-Day, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0044-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 124 ABEYANCE ITEM - SD-0020-01** - Foster-Day, Inc.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 125 U-0054-01** - Charleston Associates, Limited Liability Company  
**126 U-0056-01** - YS & AJ Associates on behalf of Cingular Wireless  
**127 U-0057-01** - KIR Charleston 036, Limited Liability Company  
**128 U-0059-01** - Rancho Decatur, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Advertising  
**129 U-0061-01** - Robert Schmidt on behalf of Jordan Mintchev

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 130 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0106-00** - Michelas, Limited Liability Company

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of July 5, 2001

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0106-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 131 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0106-00(1) - Michelas, Limited Liability Company**  
REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 132 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0002-01 - Albert Eugene, Limited Partnership**
- VARIANCES RELATED TO Z-0002-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 133 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0002-01 - Albert Eugene, Limited Partnership**
- 134 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0006-01 - Albert Eugene, Limited Partnership**
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0002-01, V-0002-01 AND V-0006-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 135 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0002-01(1) - Albert Eugene, Limited Partnership**
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 136 Z-0028-01 - New Life Management and Development**
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0028-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 137 Z-0028-01(1) AND Z-0024-99(24) - New Life Management and Development**
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 138 Z-0029-01 - ABLF, Limited Liability Company**
- 139 Z-0032-01 - Pardee Construction Company Nevada**
- 140 Z-0034-01 - Pardee Construction Company Nevada**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.: **ABEYANCE ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0013-01 - GRAND TETON & EL CAPITAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: PCD (Planned Community Development) TO: MLA (Medium-Low Attached) on approximately 17.2 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-08-401-003), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super-majority vote (3-3), therefore, this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a recommendation of DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****512****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super-majority vote (3-3), therefore, this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a recommendation of DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - STRIKE – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting and MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the property owner and asked that Item 95 [GPA-0013-01] be Withdrawn Without Prejudice. As per Council direction, he met with the concerned neighbors and COUNCILMAN MACK's office to see if this developer had a

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 95 – GPA-0013-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

product that would be more compatible with the exiting neighborhood. That meeting was held, and it was agreed to do an alternative product at an R-PD6, which does not require this General Plan Amendment.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, clarified for COUNCILMAN BROWN that withdrawing without prejudice allows the applicant to resubmit the application immediately. However, COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he feels optimistic about the two companion items and therefore moved to strike Item 95 [GPA-0013-01]. MR. BORGEL concurred with the request.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:18 – 4:22)

**4-3600**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.: **ABEYANCE ITEM** - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0013-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0023-01 - GRAND TETON & EL CAPITAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development – 12 Units Per Acre) of approximately 17.20 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-08-401-003), PROPOSED USE: MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission vote resulted in a tie (3-3) which is tantamount to DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	512
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission vote resulted in a tie (3-3) which is tantamount to DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED** subject to conditions, deleting Condition #1 and the following added conditions:

- This request shall be amended to an R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development – 6 Units Per Acre) zoning district.
- A ten-foot wide landscaped area shall be provided along the length of the northern site boundary, to be incorporated into the designated multi-use, equestrian trail to be developed along the south side of Ackerman Avenue, west of El Capitan Way.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 96 – Z-0023-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

GREG BORGEL, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the property owner and reiterated that the developer redesigned the project that would be allowed in an R-PD6 zoning, which is permitted in the current master plan category for the area. He is aware that the residents of the area found the project to be acceptable, as well as COUNCILMAN MACK.

MR. BORGEL agreed that the trails proposed be developed to full City of Las Vegas standards and that the peripheral wall around the project would be consistent with the other peripheral walls within the overall area, which are white in color. Additionally, the neighbors wanted to make sure that the grade of the outlet proposed for the drainage channel would be installed in a manner that would prevent it from becoming a nuisance for children. With regard to Item 97 [GPA-0014-01], the request is solely to locate the trail along the north portion of the property adjacent to Ackerman Avenue, which was recommended for approval by both staff and Planning Commission. The trails would provide a nice visual buffer to the homes to the north.

TIM O'NEILL, 8905 Colorful Pines, appeared on behalf of Pine Meadows, located south of the proposed development. The residents worked diligently with the developer, as well as with COUNCILMAN MACK's office, and they support the proposed project.

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, Meadows Village, expressed concern about the traffic flow in and out of the project. He asked how many units would be developed and the price range of those units. He also expressed concern about the lack of open space, especially for the children who need some type of recreation. MR. BORGEL replied that there would be 103 units of two or three bedrooms selling at approximately \$140,000. The developer will provide an internal tot lot for the children, and common areas are scattered throughout the development. He offered to show MR. CHRISTOFF the plans and the locations of those common areas.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, stated that she worked with many of the neighborhood area associations and that at first the residents were opposed to the project. The neighborhood is comprised of tract homes on small and large lots, custom homes, and horse properties. The community asked for something that was comparable to what exists to the north and south, and the residents are pleased to see that is exactly what the developer is proposing. She thanked COUNCILMAN MACK for his assistance.

GINGER MAXWELL, Ackerman Avenue, appeared in support of the application and thanked the developer for working with the residents.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 96 – Z-0023-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, explained that staff prefers that the condition regarding matching the peripheral wall not be added in the zoning condition, but that it be looked at later as a Site Development Plan Review. He requested that Condition #1 be deleted, which is a reference to a General Plan Amendment that is no longer necessary. He also read into the record two additional conditions. However, MR. BORGEL questioned the condition regarding the trail landscaping. MR. GLORE explained that the condition is intended to ensure that there is a landscape area of at least 10 feet in width incorporated within the overall trail easement. MR. BORGEL concurred with staff's recommendations, as well as the added conditions. He thanked COUNCILMAN MACK's office for their assistance and the residents for working with the developer.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:22 – 4:32)

**5-73**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0013-01) to an MLA (Medium-Low Attached) designation.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. A recorded Parcel Map will be required to separate this parcel from the remaining 5.75-acre commercial site prior to the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The parcel map must address how access will be provided to the commercial site to accommodate the proposed overpass bridge on Grand Teton Drive over U.S. 95.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 96 – Z-0023-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

5. Dedicate 20 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site and varying towards El Capitan Way for a total width of 60 feet for Ackerman Avenue, 40 feet for El Capitan Way, a 20 foot radius on the southwest corner of Ackerman Avenue and El Capitan Way and a 54 foot radius at the northwest corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive. Additional public right-of-way dedications may be required if recommended in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All required dedications may be recorded on a subdivision map, or, if no subdivision is proposed, shall be recorded by separate document prior to the issuance of building or grading permits for this site.
6. Coordinate with the City Engineer to determine if Ackerman Avenue should be terminated with a cul-de-sac adjacent to this site. If such termination is recommended, dedicate appropriate right-of-way to terminate Ackerman Avenue in a cul-de-sac meeting current City standards west of the existing homes on the north side of Ackerman Avenue. In addition, appropriate Vacation Applications may be required to eliminate the existing Ackerman Avenue right-of-way west of the required cul-de-sac.
7. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Ackerman Avenue, El Capitan Way, and Grand Teton Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
8. Construct a sewer stub to the southwest corner of this site to service the commercial property to the west along an alignment and to a location acceptable to the City Engineer concurrent with development of this site.
9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 96 – Z-0023-01

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also address the possible need for additional right-of-way along the Grand Teton Drive alignment to provide an embankment area for the proposed overpass bridge over U.S. 95. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.: **ABEYANCE ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO GPA-0013-01 AND Z-0023-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0014-01 - GRAND TETON & EL CAPITAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES** - Request to Amend Map 7 of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan to RELOCATE A PROPOSED MULTI-USE TRAIL ALIGNMENT within an approximately 22.95 acre area bounded by El Capitan Way on the east, Ackerman Avenue on the north, Grand Teton Drive on the south, and the US 95 Frontage Road on the west (APN: 125-08-401-003), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<b>512</b>
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<b>0</b>
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 97 – GPA-0014-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 96 [Z-0023-01] and Item 97 [GPA-0014-01] was held under Item 96 [Z-0023-01].

(4:22 – 4:32)

**5-73**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESCIND PREVIOUS COUNCIL ACTION - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW -  
**SD-0076-00 - JAE SUN KIM ON BEHALF OF JOHN STALUPPI** - Request by the City Council to Rescind the Previous Action of Denial for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 25,932 SQUARE FOOT AUTO DEALERSHIP (RANCHO NISSAN) on the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 700 feet north of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 125-35-401-003), C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack).

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="4"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has no recommendation for this item.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting - A letter of disclosure of conflict of interest by COUNCILMAN MACK

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED** the Rescission of the previous action – **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining because **DAVID CHESNOFF** of his law office had dealings with this particular matter, **M. McDONALD** abstaining because Attorneys **ANTHONY SGRO** and **DEAN PATTI** are his attorneys and **MACK** excused with a letter of disclosure of conflict of interest

NOTE: CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC announced that the Public Hearing was held on June 6, 2001.

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 59 [Rescind Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills], Item 60 [Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills], Item 98 [SD-0076-00-Rescind] and Item 99 [SD-0076-00] is made a part of the final minutes under Item 99 [SD-0076-00].

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 98 – SD-0076-00

**MINUTES – Continued:**

APPEARANCES:

MIKE BELLON

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

ANTHONY SGRO, Attorney

DEAN PATTI, Attorney

LLOYD HARGIS, 6666 West Washington

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, Meadows Village

NOTE: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE requested that all records from the previous meeting opposing or supporting this item be put into today's record.

(1:12- 2:36)

**3-85**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - SD-0076-00 - JAE SUN KIM ON BEHALF OF JOHN STALUPPI** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 25,932 SQUARE FOOT AUTO DEALERSHIP (RANCHO NISSAN) on the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 700 feet north of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 125-35-401-003), C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL. **NOTE: THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THIS ITEM WAS HELD ON THE JUNE 6, 2001 CITY COUNCIL MEETING. (NOTE: Morning Session Items #59 & 60 to be heard following this Site Development Plan Review)**

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="4"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A letter of disclosure of conflict of interest by COUNCILMAN MACK

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – DENIED – UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN abstaining because DAVID CHESNOFF of his law office had dealings with this particular matter, M. McDONALD abstaining because Attorneys ANTHONY SGRO and DEAN PATTI are his attorneys and MACK excused with a letter of disclosure of conflict of interest

NOTE: CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC announced that the Public Hearing was held on June 6, 2001.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 99 – SD-0076-00

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 59 [Rescind Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills], Item 60 [Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills], Item 98 [SD-0076-00-Rescind] and Item 99 [SD-0076-00] is made a part of the final minutes under Item 99 [SD-0076-00].

**MINUTES:**

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

MIKE BELLON

ANTHONY SGRO, Attorney

DEAN PATTI, Attorney

LLOYD HARGIS, 6666 West Washington

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, Meadows Village

NOTE: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE requested that all records from the previous meeting opposing or supporting this item be put into today's record.

(1:12- 2:36)

**3-85**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - Z-0089-87(5) - UNIVERSITY BOARD OF REGENTS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR TWO SOCCER FIELDS AND A PARK on 7.18 Acres located on the northeast corner of Oakey Boulevard and Torrey Pines Drive (APN: a portion of 163-02-601-001), C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**1**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**McDONALD - APPROVED** subject to conditions and an added condition that the soccer fields will not open until modular restrooms are provided – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

**MINUTES:**

JOHN ANDERSON, Swisher & Hall Architects, 2801 North Tenaya Way, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, Public Works, Real Estate Office, explained that on 6/21/00 the City Council entered into a interlocal agreement with the Community College. The agreement outlined certain requirements of how the City was going to present funding to the College and requiring them to place certain physical attributes that would be incorporated into their park. Currently, the site plan has been modified with some minor changes, and therefore he

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 100 – Z-0089-87(5)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

requested that the City Council empower the Real Estate Office to make changes the Interlocal Agreement and bring the agreement back to the City Council so that the site plan proposed in the previous Interlocal Agreement is in accord. MAYOR GOODMAN asked what is being done to provide restroom facilities. MR. ANDERSON replied that the college is planning to provide restrooms in a modular facility about 300 feet to the north of the college. RUDY STARKS, Community College of Southern Nevada, verified for MAYOR GOODMAN that the plan was to use portable restrooms as needed for events and until the modular buildings are in place. The long-range plan is to add permanent restrooms. He discussed with MAYOR GOODMAN that the modular buildings would be placed in conjunction with the construction of the science building, and construction completion is expected within a year. He reiterated that the port-a-potties would be brought in as needed. COUNCILMAN McDONALD expressed concern about this because at a neighborhood meeting one of the contentions was that there would not be port-a-potties and that modular restrooms would be provided the day the soccer fields open. MR. STARK replied that he would agree to do that.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked BOB GILBERT for communicating with the neighborhood. The neighbors had also expressed concern about the security and fencing. MR. STARK promised to continue working with the neighbors to address those concerns. COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed staff to amend the Interlocal Agreement as per the plans that are being approved. Additionally, that the soccer fields will not open until the modular restrooms are available. MR. McNELLIS stated that this could be brought back with the modified Interlocal Agreement. COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked Regent Member, TOM WEISNER, the Community College staff, MIKE MYERS and City staff for their involvement.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(2:48 – 2:55)

**3-3524**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
2. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 100 – Z-0089-87(5)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

3. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations.

Public Works

5. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Torrey Pines Drive and Oakey Boulevard prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right-of-Way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in the preparation of appropriate documents.
6. Construct half-street improvements on Torrey Pines Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
7. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other information acceptable to the Department of Public Works must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 100 – Z-0089-87(5)

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
10. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-89-87 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
10. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-89-87 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0078-99(2) - PLK, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF GENERAL EQUITIES CORPORATION -**

Request by PLK, Limited Liability Company on behalf of General Equities Corporation for a Review of Condition of Approval No. 10 which required that all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, be removed and replaced with new improvements meeting current city standards prior to occupancy of this site, located at 544 East Sahara Avenue, 2320 and 2314 South Sixth Street (APN's: 162-03-421-038), P-R (Professional Office and Parking) and R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zones under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE - APPROVED** subject to condition and amending original Condition #8 to read as follows:

- Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site. Improvements along Sahara Avenue may be deferred until receipt of a written request from the City for such improvements. The applicant shall sign and record a Covenant Running with Land agreement for the possible future replacement of substandard improvements, including driveways, on Sahara Avenue adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 101 – Z-0078-99(2)

**MOTION – Continued:**

**any permits, provided however that such replacements do not prohibit the utilization by applicant of the driveway on Sahara Avenue or Sixth Street.**  
**– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that one of the conditions required the applicant to update the driveway to City standards. At this time they are unable to obtain an encroachment permit from the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT). Therefore, they will use the driveway as it currently exists.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works, corrected for the record that the application is for the Review of Condition #8 and not #10. He read into the record a revision to Condition #8. MR. AMICK concurred with the revision.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:55 – 2:57)

**4-137**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Condition 10 as follows:

Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site. Improvements along Sahara Avenue may be deferred until receipt of a written request from the City for such improvements. The applicant shall sign and record a Covenant Running with Land agreement for the possible future replacement of substandard improvements, including driveways, on Sahara Avenue adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(4) - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan TO REMOVE APPROXIMATELY 40 ACRES FROM THE OVERALL PLAN AREA at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-12-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre), [PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**12**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – ABEYANCE to 8/15/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TERRY CONNELLY, William Lyon Homes, 500 Pilot Road, stated that several changes have been made to the original request, but the area residents have not had a chance to comment on those changes. Therefore, he requested that all three items be held in abeyance.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 102 – Z-0016-98(4)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 102 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 103 [GPA-0011-01], and Item 104 [Z-0025-01] was held under Item 102 [Z-0016-98(4)].

(2:57 – 3:01)

**4-225**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0011-01 - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural) TO: R (Rural Density Residential) on approximately 40 acres at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-12-401-001), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**14**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – ABEYANCE to 8/15/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TERRY CONNELLY, William Lyon Homes, 500 Pilot Road, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 102 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 103 [GPA-0011-01], and Item 104 [Z-0025-01] was held under Item 102 [Z-0016-98(4)].

(2:57 – 3:01)

4-225

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) AND GPA-0011-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0025-01 - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units Per Acre) TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) on approximately 40 acres at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive, (APN: 125-12-401-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are approved; or if Z-0016-98(4) is approved and GPA-0011-01 is denied; or if Z-0016-98(4) is denied and GPA-0011-01 is approved; or STRIKE if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are denied. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****14****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are approved; or if Z-0016-98(4) is approved and GPA-0011-01 is denied; or if Z-0016-98(4) is denied and GPA-0011-01 is approved; or STRIKE if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are denied. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****REESE – ABEYANCE to 8/15/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TERRY CONNELLY, William Lyon Homes, 500 Pilot Road, was present.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 104 – Z-0025-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 102 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 103 [GPA-0011-01], and Item 104 [Z-0025-01] was held under Item 102 [Z-0016-98(4)].

(2:57 – 3:01)

**4-225**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION - LONE MOUNTAIN WEST MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0024-99(23) - SOUTHWEST DESERT EQUITIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Major Modification to the Lone Mountain West Master Development Plan TO CHANGE THE LAND USE DESIGNATION FROM MEDIUM LOW (UP TO 12 DWELLING UNITS PER ACRE) TO MULTI-FAMILY MEDIUM (UP TO 25 DWELLING UNITS PER ACRE) on approximately 5.0 acres located on the north side of the Alexander Road alignment, approximately 660 feet west of the western beltway alignment (APN: 137-01-401-010), U (Undeveloped) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that the Council should address the densities in this particular area so that 50 years from now the area will not become a slum area. COUNCILMAN BROWN replied that the proposed project is a retirement community development that has gone through many public hearings.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 105 – Z-0024-99(23)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:01 – 3:04))

**4-330**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to applicable standards of the Lone Mountain West Master Development Plan, The 2020 Master Plan, Title 19A, and the Las Vegas Urban Design and landscape standards.
2. Approval at a non-public hearing before the Planning Commission of an overall Site Development Plan Review for the entire 25-acre project.

Public Works

3. An update to the previously approved Master Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
4. An update to the previously approved Master Traffic Impact Analyses for the Lone Mountain Planned Development and the Lone Mountain West Planned Development must be approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analyses prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 105 – Z-0024-99(23)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION - RIO VISTA PLAZA DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0074-97(11) - RIO VISTA PLAZA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Major Modification to the Rio Vista Plaza Development Plan TO ADD CARWASH AND MINI-LUBE FACILITIES TO THE PERMITTED USES TABLE (APN: 125-34-515-007 and 008), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (2-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (2-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, asked whether the Council wanted to trail the item until the applicant arrived. However, COUNCILMAN BROWN seeing that no conditions would be amended, moved to approve the item.

CAROL LeDuc, 7575 Rome Boulevard, expressed her concern about what seem like proposed spacious shopping centers, but as time goes by other pads encroach. In this case it is the parking. The parking spaces between the islands get smaller leaving less room for people to back their cars.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 106 – Z-0074-97(11)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that his understanding is that there has been neighborhood involvement and support for both items.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 106 [Z-0074-97(11) and Item 107 [Z-0074-97(10)] was held under Item 106 [Z-0074-97(11)].

(3:04 – 3:07)

**4-417**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0074-97(11) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0074-97(10) - RIO VISTA PLAZA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 5,099 SQUARE FOOT FULL-SERVICE CAR WASH AND A 1,468 SQUARE FOOT MINOR AUTO REPAIR GARAGE on 1.50 acres at the southeast corner of the intersection of Ann Road and Drexel Road (APN: 125-34-515-007 and 008), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (2-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (2-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 107 – Z-0074-97(10)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 106 [Z-0074-97(11)] and Item 107 [Z-0074-97(10)] was held under Item 106 [Z-0074-97(11)].

(3:04 – 3:07)

**4-417**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The approval is contingent upon approval of a Major Modification to the Rio Vista Plaza development plan to add “Carwash” and “Mini-Lube Facility” to the list of permitted uses.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to depict a minimum three-foot-high berm within the street frontage planter.
4. The hours of the car wash operation shall be limited to 7:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.
5. Outdoor public address systems are prohibited for this site.
6. The site plan shall be revised to reflect the following: 1) a thru-lane parallel to the queuing lane, 2) a reversal of the flow of traffic into the auto lube facility, 3) the lengthening by approximately fifteen feet of the landscaped strip separating the queuing lanes, and 4) the installation of “exit only” signage at the break in the row of landscaping near the car wash queuing lane.
7. Drive-up cashier service shall be provided and maintained at the entrance to the car wash as depicted on the site plan.
8. All development shall be in conformance with the submitted site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 107 – Z-0074-97(10)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
11. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
12. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 25 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
13. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 107 – Z-0074-97(10)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

18. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities as required by the Department of Public Works.
19. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Z-0074-97, the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, and all other site-related actions as required by the Department of Public Works.
20. All subdivided parcels comprising this overall commercial subdivision site shall provide perpetual common parking and access rights to all driveways connecting this overall site to the abutting public streets.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING -  
**SD-0012-01 - ASTORIA IRON MOUNTAIN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** -  
 Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 140 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY  
 SUBDIVISION on 35.32 acres at the northeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache  
 Road (APN: 125-05-401-001, 125-05-402-001, 125-05-403-001, and 125-05-404-003), U  
 (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under  
 Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre), Ward 6  
 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**3**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 108 [SD-0012-01],  
 Item 130 [Z-0106-00] and Item 131 [Z-0106-00(1) to 7/18/01 and Item 122 [U-0034-01] to  
 8/15/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(1:10 – 1:12)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0018-01(1), Z-0109-97(1) AND Z-0110-97(2) - BECKER REALTY, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 262,640 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT on 27.6 acres on the south side of the northern Beltway alignment, west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-25-501-009 and 125-25-601-009), R-E (Residence Estates) and C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zones under Resolution of Intent to R-PD15 (Residential Planned Development - 15 Units Per Acre), [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JIM STROH, JSA Architects, 6126 South Sandhill Road, Suite I, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 109 – Z-0018-01(1), Z-0109-97(1) and Z-0110-97(2)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.  
(3:07 – 3:08)

**4-530**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning to a C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The Site Development Plan Review approval is for the site plan submitted to the Planning Commission on May 24, 2001.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The site plan and elevations shall demonstrate compliance with the Residential Adjacency Standards prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
6. The site plan shall be revised to depict seating areas and appropriate landscaping within plazas adjacent to the Major B and Shops B buildings and between the Pad 5 and Pad 6 buildings abutting Decatur Boulevard, and no parking spaces abutting the sidewalks fronting the Major A and Major B buildings.
7. The site plan shall depict trash enclosure quantity and locations to be approved by the Planning and Development Department.
8. The landscaping plans shall be revised to depict spacing of trees a maximum of 20 feet on-center within all perimeter planters, and within landscape planters along all three street frontages depict parking lot screening comprising either 30-inch high walls, 36-inch high living hedge, or berms.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 109 – Z-0018-01(1), Z-0109-97(1) and Z-0110-97(2)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. All proposed ‘pad’ building elevations shall demonstrate, to the satisfaction of Planning and Development Department staff, all side and rear ‘pad’ building elevations consistent with front elevations, reflecting at a minimum the varied rooflines, and regularly-spaced vertical facade elements.
10. Fuel island canopy elevations shall be submitted depicting, to the satisfaction of Planning and Development Department staff, varied facade planes and vertical elements to reduce the appearance of mass, and to provide design consistency with the building elevations.
11. The rear (west) elevations of the Major A building and the Major B building and the north elevation of the Major A building shall depict a loading dock enclosure, consisting of a solid masonry wall of at least ten feet in height, along the length of the exterior side of the loading areas.
12. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
13. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
14. A lighting plan shall be approved by the Planning and Development Department, including photometric plans demonstrating parking lot lighting standard heights appropriate for surrounding residential areas, and use of ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights within the parking lots and gasoline canopies. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings.

**Public Works**

15. This development shall utilize the existing 60’ access easement, otherwise if an alternate access for the property to the west of this site is proposed, the existing 60’ access easement shall be vacated and an appropriate access easement shall be granted prior to the development of this site.
16. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Decatur Boulevard and Tropical Parkway adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 109 – Z-0018-01(1), Z-0109-97(1) and Z-0110-97(2)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
18. The submitted Traffic Impact Analysis must be approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
19. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 109 – Z-0018-01(1), Z-0109-97(1) and Z-0110-97(2)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

20. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
21. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Zoning Reclassifications Z-109-97, Z-18-01 all other subsequent site-related actions.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0005-01 - G T 95, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Petition to vacate a portion of Grand Teton Drive, generally located between Grand Canyon Drive and the Tee Pee Lane alignment; and to vacate a Government Patent Reservation generally located along Grand Teton Drive between Grand Canyon Drive and the Tee Pee Lane alignment, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a business relationship he has with the individuals involved in this matter and MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN BROWN verified with BART ANDERSON, Public Works, that staff is comfortable with the conditions as written.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 110 – VAC-0005-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:08 – 3:10)

**4-578**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. (*Planning and Development*)
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. (*Planning and Development*)
3. The legal description for the patent easement portion of this Vacation Application shall be amended to read as follows: “The north ninety feet (90’) of the north one-hundred fifty feet (150’) of the south half (S½) of the southwest quarter (SW¼) of the southeast quarter (SE¼) of Section 7, Township 19 South, Range 60 East”. (*Public Works*)
4. This Petition of Vacation shall be amended to retain a public multi-use trail easement over the 10 feet of right-of-way requested to be vacated and to vacate the northern 10 feet of the existing 15 foot wide public multi-use trail easement along the existing north edge of Grand Teton Drive. A 54-foot radius at the northeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Grand Teton Drive shall also be retained. (*Public Works*)
5. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. The Drainage Study required for Z-93-00 may be used to satisfy this condition. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. (*Public Works*)
6. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (*Public Works*)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 110 – VAC-0005-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. The Order of Vacation /Order of Relinquishment shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #6 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (*Public Works*)
9. If the Order of Vacation /Order of Relinquishment is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (*Planning and Development*)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0008-01 - ALBERT D MASSI ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA** - Petition to vacate Government Patent Reservations and a portion of a public drainage channel generally located on the southeast corner Alexander Road and Durango Drive, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:10)

**4-610**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 111 – VAC-0008-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. (Planning and Development)
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The drainage study required for Z-11-00 may be used to satisfy this requirement. (Public Works)
3. All existing public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Relinquishment of Interest. (Public Works)
4. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (Public Works)
5. Development of these sites shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Goldrush III Subdivision. (Public Works)
6. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (Planning and Development)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0009-01 - PATRICK PHD, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Petition to vacate a Bureau of Land Management right-of-way grant generally located north of the Cheyenne Avenue alignment, east of the Western Beltway alignment, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend **APPROVAL**

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

☐

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

☐

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend **APPROVAL**, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CINDIE GEE, Keith Companies, 444 East Warm Springs Road, Suite #110, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:10 – 3:11)

**4-651**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 112 – VAC-0009-01

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. (*Planning and Development*)
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. (*Planning and Development*)
3. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The drainage study required by the Cheyenne at Shady Timber subdivision may be updated to satisfy this condition. (*Public Works*)
4. All existing public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (*Public Works*)
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (*Public Works*)
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (*Planning and Development*)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0010-01 - HOWARD HUGHES**

**CORPORATION** - Petition to vacate Sky Vista Drive from the south right-of-way line of Vista Run Drive to the south right-of-way line Park Vista Drive, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L. B. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BERT HUGHES, GC Wallace, 10000 West Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:11 – 3:12)

**4-676**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 113 – VAC-0010-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required. (*Planning and Development*)
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments. (*Planning and Development*)
3. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (*Public Works*)
4. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. (*Public Works*)
5. All existing public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (*Public Works*)
6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #5 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (*Public Works*)
7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (*Planning and Development*)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

MASTER PLAN OF STREETS AND HIGHWAYS AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -  
**MSH-0001-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to Amend the Master Plan of Streets and  
Highways TO ADD DISCOVERY DRIVE BETWEEN MARTIN L. KING (BOULEVARD)  
AND GRAND CENTRAL PARKWAY AS AN 80-FOOT WIDE RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND TO  
ADD GRAND CENTRAL PARKWAY AS A 100-FOOT WIDE ARTERIAL FROM  
CHARLESTON BOULEVARD TO OGDEN AVENUE, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning  
Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, appeared on behalf of the applicant and  
concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:12 – 3:13)

**4-700**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 114 – MSH-0001-01

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Upon development, additional rights-of-way for dedicated right turn lanes, dual left turn lanes, and/or appropriate transition elements shall be required at the discretion of the Traffic Engineer. *(Public Works)*
2. City Staff is empowered to modify this application, if necessary, because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City of Las Vegas requirements are still complied with and the intent of the submitted Master Plan of Streets and Highways is not changed. *(Public Works)*

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0010-01 - 5 STAR PROPERTIES-CHARLESTON & RANCHO ON BEHALF OF UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA** - Request by the City Council to Rescind the Previous Action which Denied a Review requested by Councilman Michael McDonald from the Approval by the Planning Commission of a request by 5 Star Properties-Charleston & Rancho on behalf of University Medical Center of Southern Nevada for a Variance TO ALLOW A 20 FOOT HIGH MONUMENT SIGN WHERE 8 FOOT IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTED located at 2231 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-04-101-002), R-E (RESIDENCE ESTATES) Zone under Resolution of Intent to O (Office) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff has no recommendation for this item

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has no recommendation for this item.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****M. McDONALD - APPROVED the Rescission – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:13 – 3:15)

**4-742**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0010-01 - 5 STAR PROPERTIES-CHARLESTON & RANCHO ON BEHALF OF UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA** - Request by the City Council which Denied a Review requested by Councilman Michael McDonald from the Approval by the Planning Commission of a request by 5 Star Properties-Charleston & Rancho on behalf of University Medical Center of Southern Nevada for a Variance TO ALLOW A 20 FOOT HIGH MONUMENT SIGN WHERE 8 FOOT IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTED located at 2231 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-04-101-002), R-E (RESIDENCE ESTATES) Zone under Resolution of Intent to O (Office) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DARRELL SHOCK, Vision Sign Company, 3625 South Polaris Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated he met with staff in COUNCILMAN McDONALD's office, as well as adjacent neighbors to address the variance setback. If the sign would be set an additional five feet from the property line, it would not interfere with Davis Funeral Home, therefore alleviating any concerns for requesting an additional sign.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 116 – V-0010-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified that he requested this review because the neighbors expressed concern about the proliferation of signs along Charleston Boulevard. Davis Funeral Home, located east of the proposed sign, has a five-foot monument sign. If the UMC sign was placed at the appropriate setback and built to eight feet, it would block the Davis Funeral Home sign. The area residents do not want a 20-foot pole sign, but would support a monument sign as less intrusive and would keep the integrity of the neighborhood. Through discussions with MR. SHOCK the sign would be moved further into the property still providing the landscaping, but not obstructing the eastbound traffic's view of the Davis Funeral Home.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, clarified for the record that under Title 19A, within the O (Office) Zone, a 12-foot freestanding sign is the maximum height allowed, but that does include the provision for a pole sign.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared in support of the monument sign and stated that the Council should do away with all poles signs.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD discussed with COUNCILMAN REESE that he would allow a 20-foot monument sign, but that MR. SHOCK would provide a 10-foot setback from the rear of the sidewalk, as opposed to five foot as required by code. The area is not office, but medical. MR. GLORE agreed. However, the underlying zoning is O (Office), which allows a 12-foot maximum height freestanding sign, and it is not specific regarding pole sign or monument sign, but 12 foot is the maximum height.

JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane, stated that signs are very important and motorists would be able to see the sign giving them enough time to slow down and exit if they need to.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD pointed out that there is a similar UMC sign across from the proposed monument sign. This was a contentious item because the City Council already approved a similar SavOn sign across the street. This sign would keep the area uniform.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:15 – 3:21)

**4-804**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 116 – V-0010-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two years from date of approval, the Variance shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.
3. Conformance to the site plan and elevations as submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0296-94(2) - **K & J PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF ELLER OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Appeal from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by K & J Partnership on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising of a Required One Year Review for an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 820 South Valley View Boulevard (APN: 139-31-801-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD - APPROVED** subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 117 – U-0296-94(2)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

DEBBIE TORRES, Clear Channel Outdoor, formerly known as Eller Media Company, appeared on behalf of R. VAN NOSTRAND.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that the Las Vegas Valley Water District has made many improvements along Valley View Boulevard, especially at the new historical site of Desert Springs Preserves. Therefore, many of the signs along Valley View Boulevard should be removed.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD replied that the Desert Springs Preserve will be a wonderful project, but it will be sometime until the project is complete.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, requested and read into the record an additional condition to be applied to this application, which is now a standard condition for billboard reviews. MS. TORRES concurred with the added condition.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, clarified for COUNCILMAN McDONALD that this application requires a one-year review due to the continuous change of the Las Vegas Valley Water District area.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:21 – 3:24))

**4-1019**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0271-93(2) - **SZECHWAN CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit on property located at 3101 West Sahara Avenue WHICH ALLOWED A 50 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN, C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald), APN: 162-08-104-004. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:24 – 3:25))

**4-1135**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 118 – U-0271-93(2)

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0043-94(2) - **VILLAGE, INC. ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed two 55 foot high, 14 foot x 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) signs at 3900 and 3920 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-31-801-011 and 012), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends APPROVAL of 3900 and 3920 West Charleston Boulevard. The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL for 3920 West Charleston Boulevard only. NOTE: 3900 WEST CHARLESTON BOULEVARD WAS APPEALED BY LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING FROM THE DENIAL AT THE MAY 24, 2001 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL of 3900 and 3920 West Charleston Boulevard, subject to conditions. The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL for 3920 West Charleston Boulevard only, subject to conditions.

NOTE: 3900 WEST CHARLESTON BOULEVARD WAS APPEALED BY LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING FROM THE DENIAL AT THE MAY 24, 2001 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions and a one-year review of the billboard sign located at 3900 West Charleston Boulevard – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 119 – U-0043-94(2)

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, agreed to a two-year review for the sign located at 3920 West Charleston and a one-year review for the sign located at 3900 West Charleston Boulevard. She indicated that she will work with the Water District if any issues or new development arises to see if the sign can be removed or at least relocated to a more suitable location.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that he agrees with the Planning Commission that the sign located at 3900 West Charleston Boulevard should be removed due to the Las Vegas Valley Water District restoration of the Las Vegas Springs Preserves.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:25 – 3:26)

**4-1168**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed.
2. If either of the existing off-premise advertising sign structures are removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) signs and supporting structures shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0031-00** - **AMERICAN STORE PROPERTIES, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE OFF-PREMISE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED ALBERTSON'S CONVENIENCE STORE on the northwest corner of Craig Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-01-619-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Items #64 & 65.)** The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL. Staff recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**2**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 8/1/01 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Albertson's. ATTORNEY GRONAUER requested that Item 120 [U-0031-00], Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Of-sale Liquor License] and 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots] be held in abeyance for two weeks so that he can resolve some issues with staff. However, he requested that Items 121 [U-0032-00], Item 66 [Package Liquor License] and Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots] go forward. The waiver requested is the same type of application that the Council has approved in the past.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 120 – U-0031-00

**MINUTES – Continued:**

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that because of the holiday, it is not feasible to have these items back on for two weeks. Therefore, he requested that the items be abeyed to 30 days.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT clarified for COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD that Item 121 [U-0032-00] is the waiver for the proposed Albertson's, which is more than 20,000 square feet of retail. Therefore, it qualifies for the waiver of the 400-foot distance requirement.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 120 [U-0031-00], Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Of-sale Liquor License], 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots], 121 [U-0032-00], Item 66 [Package Liquor License] and Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots] was held under Item 120 [U-0031-00].

(3:26 – 3:33)

**4-1240**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0032-00** - **AMERICAN STORES PROPERTIES, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF PACKAGED LIQUOR IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED ALBERTSON'S SUPERMARKET on the northwest corner of Craig Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-01-619-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). (**NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Items #66 & 67.**) The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**NOTE: A WAIVER OF THE 400 FOOT SEPARATION REQUIREMENT BETWEEN A LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT AND A PROTECTED USE (DAYCARE) HAS BEEN ADDED TO THIS REQUEST**

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**2**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED** subject to conditions – **UNANIMOUS** with **WEEKLY** not voting and with **MACK** excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Albertson's.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 121 – U-0032-00

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 120 [U-0031-00], Item 64 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Of-sale Liquor License], 65 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots], 121 [U-0032-00], Item 66 [Package Liquor License] and Item 67 [Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots] was held under Item 120 [U-0031-00].

(3:26 – 3:33)

**4-1240**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to approval of a Tentative Map, issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate appropriate public right-of-way adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final dedication will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.
4. Construct appropriate half-street improvements, including overpaving, adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final half-street construction requirements will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.
5. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to each site along a logical route concurrent with development of each site.
6. Extend public sewer to the west edge of this site in the Farm Road alignment to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer and concurrent with the development of this parcel. Provide public sewer easements for public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits or recordation of any Final Map.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 121 – U-0032-00

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first., if allowed by the City Engineer.
9. The final layout of each development within the Planned Development Area shall be determined at the time of approval of individual Tentative Maps and/or Site Development Plan Reviews.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0034-01 - T.J.P. NEVADA, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF SEILER, INC.** - Appeal filed by Lionel Sawyer & Collins from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by T.J.P. Nevada, Limited Partnership on behalf of Seiler, Inc. for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 40 FOOT TALL, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 2101 South Decatur Boulevard (APN: 163-01-708-004), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 108 [SD-0012-01], Item 130 [Z-0106-00] and Item 131 [Z-0106-00(1) to 7/18/01 and Item 122 [U-0034-01] to 8/15/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

Item 122 [U-0034-01] was requested by the applicant that it be held in abeyance to the 7/18/01 City Council meeting. However, COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified that the item be held to the 8/15/01 City Council meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(1:10 – 1:12)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0044-01 -**

**FOSTER-DAY, INC.** - Appeal filed by Kittrell Garlock and Associates from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Foster-Day, Inc. for a Special Use Permit FOR MOTOR VEHICLE SALES (USED) on 2.06 acres on the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 800 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-12-801-007), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED** subject to conditions with an additional condition limiting the hours of operation from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. – **UNANIMOUS** with **WEEKLY** and **L.B. McDONALD** voting no and **MACK** excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GEORGE GARLOCK, KGA Architecture, 4170 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite B-5, appeared on behalf of and together with the applicant, SAM GUANCI, 8452 Wondering Sun Avenue. MR. GARLOCK indicated that Thrifty Car Sales is a family run operation and has tried to come to Las Vegas for quite some time to compete with Budget Car Sales. He has had numerous discussions with staff to resolve some issues. In fact, additions were submitted to the original

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 123 – U-0044-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

submittal. MR. GARLOCK stated that the waiver of the landscaping is no longer needed as it has been satisfied. The proposed request is compatible with the neighborhood as there are 23 other automotive businesses within 500 feet of this site.

SAM GUANCI, 8452 Wondering Sun Avenue, stated that he has been a Las Vegas resident for five years. He believes that this is a good project and believes that the project will satisfy Ward 6 and the City as well.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that Rancho Drive would become another Boulder Highway if another car dealership were allowed into this area. The idea of an auto mall is to put all car dealerships in one location.

TERESA MALONE, 3660 Thom Boulevard, indicated that the applicant made no attempt to contact the neighbors regarding the proposed project. There are no other used car lots as described by MR. GARLOCK. She is concerned that the lighting would hinder the residents that live to the west of the proposed project. In the event the item was approved, MS. MALONE asked that the applicant conform to all landscaping requirements and to limit the time of operation not to exceed 9:00 p.m. Additionally, she asked that the applicant consider not using spotlighting as an advertising mechanism. She asked the Council to consider restricting the entrances to vehicles traveling south on Rancho Drive and to require a right-hand turn lane into the property. She asked if the applicant would be using billboards and whether they will be lit or painted and the height of the proposed building.

BILL PAINTER, 3108 Villa Colonade Drive, stated that this is a great use for this particular property, especially because there are many undeveloped empty lots full of garbage and weeds. This is a positive development that maybe others will follow to clean up this area.

JOHN VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane, discussed with MR. GARLOCK that the proposed project is located approximately 3.5 miles from the Nissan car dealership that was denied earlier.

MR. GARLOCK addressed issues raised by MS. MALONE. The proposed project will be a used-car dealership. The area is zoned C-2, which would allow a higher density, and there were no protests. He withdrew the request of the waiver of the landscaping and agreed to install landscaping that is compatible. He also agreed to limiting the hours of operations from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. because, unlike new car dealerships, this type of business does not need to be open all



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 123 – U-0044-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

hours with flags, banners, and other types of advertisements. There will be no billboards used as a form of advertisement. The project would benefit the entire Valley and this particular neighborhood. MR. GARLOCK discussed with COUNCILMAN McDONALD that since there were no protests from the area residents, he felt it was a compatible use and that there was no need for a neighborhood meeting. MR. GUANCI added that MR. PAINTER conducted a survey of the area residents and that responses were all positive. This included a survey from area businesses. COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that NARA (Northwest Area Resident Association) has not shown any opposition to this project. Therefore, he assumes that they have reviewed and support the project. He asked CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, whether the applicant indicated the type of signage that would be on the site. MR. GLORE replied that staff has not received a master sign plan, but that that would be a requirement prior to permitting of any proposed signage.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT clarified for COUNCILMAN BROWN that the motion for Item 124 [SD-0020-01] should reflect the fact that the applicant withdrew the waiver of the landscaping as they meet the landscaping requirement.

MR. GARLOCK thanked COUNCILMAN MACK and LENI SKAAR for their help.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 123 [U-0044-01] and Item 124 [SD-0020-01] was held under Item 123 [U-0044-01].

(3:33 – 3:51)

**4-1439**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review [SD-0020-01].

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 123 – U-0044-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

3. Vehicles displayed, stored or sold, or exchanged from the subject property shall have been manufactured within the last five (5) model years of the display, storage, sale or exchange date. Customer and employee vehicles, classic or collector vehicles (defined as any motor vehicle, including trucks, that is at least twenty (20) model years old, or any motor vehicle of a defunct make, or any vehicle displayed inside the building shall be exempt from this limitation.
4. No temporary special events signs such as banners, pennants, inflatable objects (other than small balloons), streamers, flags, strobe lights or other similar attention gaining item or devices shall be displayed upon the subject property or a vehicle displayed for sale in the parking lot of the subject property.
5. The used car dealership shall not operate on Sundays.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0044-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0020-01 - FOSTER-DAY, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 1.08 ACRE MOTOR VEHICLE SALES (USED) LOT WITH A 2,250 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL BUILDING; AND FOR A WAIVER OF REQUIRED LANDSCAPING on 2.06 acres on the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 800 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-12-801-007), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED** subject to conditions with waiver of landscaping withdrawn  
**– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD voting no and MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GEORGE GARLOCK, KGA Architecture, 4170 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite B-5, appeared on behalf of and together with the applicant, SAM GUANCI, 8452 Wondering Sun Avenue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 124 – SD-0020-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 123 [U-0044-01] and Item 124 [SD-0020-01] was held under Item 123 [U-0044-01].

(3:33 – 3:51)

**4-1439**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located outside of an enclosed building.
3. The use of an outside public address or bell system is prohibited on this site.
4. A minimum of fifteen parking spaces shall be reserved for employees and visitors.
5. The 0.98-acre area to the rear of the site shall not be used for parking or storage of vehicles, and until such time as the area is approved for development dust mitigation shall be provided or temporary fencing be installed to prevent parking or storage.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised to depict the required planter width of fifteen feet along the front property line and eight feet along the side property lines; four 5-gallon shrubs per tree; and the required landscape fingers, at a rate of one per six parking spaces. Instead of this landscape finger requirement, one additional 24-inch box tree for each required landscape finger may be provided in an adjacent planter. Adjacent to the handicap stalls, the center aisle shall be widened and landscaped, or landscape fingers shall be provided within both parking rows. The revised landscape plan shall be submitted to Planning Department staff for review prior to issuance of building permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 124 – SD-0020-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. The submitted elevations shall be revised to reflect the addition of windows or other types of articulation along the rear elevation, and improved contrasts in color for all façades. Revised elevations shall be submitted to Planning Department staff for review prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
13. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.

**Public Works**

14. Dedicate that portion of right-of-way adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number #138-12-801-006 necessary to complete a knuckle for the intersection of Maxine Place and Arlene Way prior to the issuance of any permits.
15. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Rancho Drive and the Maxine Place/Arlene Way knuckle adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 124 – SD-0020-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a and shall also meet Nevada Department of Transportation (N.D.O.T.) standards.
17. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site.

The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

18. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way, if any, on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site as required by the Department of Public Works.
19. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 124 – SD-0020-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

20. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer. This site is located in a FEMA Zone A Flood Zone.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0054-01 - CHARLESTON**

**ASSOCIATES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A 6,218 SQUARE FOOT SUPPER CLUB on 1.15 acres on the east side of Rampart Boulevard, approximately 220 feet north of Charleston Boulevard within Boca Park Phase 1 (APN:138-32-412-020), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L. B. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the application is for a supper club located within the Boca Park project at Charleston Boulevard and Rampart Boulevard. ATTORNEY AMICK concurred with staff's recommendations.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 125 – U-0054-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:51)

**4-2162**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Boca Park Phase I Master Development Plan.
4. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
5. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.
6. All development shall be in conformance with the site development plan, landscape plan, and building elevations, except where amended by conditions of approval.
7. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of this approval, this approval shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
8. The landscape plan shall be revised to reflect the addition of landscape planter fingers at a rate of one per every six parking spaces. In addition, the landscape plan shall be revised to reflect compliance with required landscaping along Rampart Boulevard if the landscaping is not already installed by the Master Developer.
9. The Applicant shall submit a color palate depicting compliance with the approved color scheme of Boca Park Phase I, prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 125 – U-0054-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets. The trash area enclosure shall be constructed of solid block, a minimum of six feet in height, and designed to match colors of the building.
11. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

13. An addendum to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for the Peccole Town Center (AKA Boca Park), Zoning Reclassification Z-30-92 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0056-01 - YS & AJ ASSOCIATES ON BEHALF OF CINGULAR WIRELESS** - Appeal filed by WFI from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by YS & AJ Associates on behalf of Cingular Wireless for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A 60-FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY (STEALTH FLAGPOLE), on approximately 1.73 acres at 450 South Buffalo Road (APN: 138-34-201-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>	
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	<b>1</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>	
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A support petition with 32 signatures

**MOTION:**

**L. B. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROGER SPENCER, 1211 Town Center Drive, Suite 100, appeared on behalf of the applicant, who thanked COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD, her staff and Planning staff. He submitted a petition in support from area residents.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 126 – U-0056-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. SPENCER submitted a photograph of the pole that will be the actual cell tower. The antennas will be mounted inside and not visible from the outside. A flag will be flying from the pole.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD discussed with MR. SPENCER that the ground equipment would be located in space available in one of the suites. If the cell tower were placed in a park the equipment could be vaulted depending on the soils and the engineering. COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that having cell towers located in City parks would generate revenue for the City. MR. SPENCER noted that they just finished negotiating a lease with the City and are currently looking for future sites. However, this site was chosen before the lease. COUNCILMAN McDONALD added that this is definitely the Council's direction.

AL GALLEGOS, Citizen of Las Vegas, stated that if the pole is to be used as a flagpole, the flag should be all the way to the very top of the pole, not half way up.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:51 – 3:55)

**4-2210**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0057-01 - KIR CHARLESTON 036, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF VERIZON WIRELESS** - Appeal filed by Spectrum Surveying and Engineering from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by KIR Charleston 036, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Verizon Wireless for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A 60-FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY on 2.14 acres at 1800 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-02-510-008), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>	
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>	
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Petition with 70 signatures from the Villa Monterey residents opposing the monopole

**MOTION:**

**REESE – ABEYANCE to 8/1/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS WENER, Spectrum Surveying Engineering, 7351 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 120, appeared on behalf of the applicant. Verizon Wireless is proposing to install a 60-foot slim line monopole at the rear of an existing commercial center. There will be a total of three antennas clustered together so that no rays will be sticking out. The Planning Commission denied the application because they felt that the facility would be too close to residential properties. Placing

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 127 – U-0057-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

the monopole in the rear of the shopping center would minimize the visual impact from Charleston Boulevard and as far north as possible would give it the separation from the senior living facility to the south. Additionally, the property management group who controls this portion of the center did not want the facility to be mounted directly to the roofs of any of the shops. As per staff's recommendation, the applicant agreed to place a decorative block wall around the facility.

The residents of the Senior Living Center expressed concerns about frequency interferences. The FCC controls them, and antennas can be found on top of many of the hospitals throughout the Valley. They do not interfere with any medical devices or two-way hand held radios.

LEONARD GODICK, Villa Monterey Apartments, 1270 Burnham Avenue, submitted a petition with 70 signatures to oppose and prevent the construction of the proposed monopole. The residents are not concerned about the aesthetics of the monopole, but the fact that there is incomplete information. Therefore, MR. GODICK asked that plans for construction cease until additional information could be provided regarding this proposed facility. The residents need to be reassured that any issues are resolved before construction.

EMILY HILL, Manager of Villa Monterey Apartments, 1270 Burnham Avenue, stated that the apartments are located within 150 feet of the proposed facility. As manager of Villa Monterey Apartments she is responsible for the health of the residents and therefore is concerned about what problems the possible frequency interference of airborne signals may cause the residents. Especially since 20% or more of the residents have pacemakers and many more depend on lifeline to keep them in touch with medical services. While there is no proof that this monopole will interfere with pacemakers, there is no proof that it will not. Therefore, she and the residents strongly object to the proposed location of the monopole.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked MS. HILL and MR. GODICK if they would be willing to meet with the applicant to address their concerns, as he had told the applicant that no protests had been received regarding the proposed monopole. MS. HILL and MR. GODICK concurred with the request.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that the monopole should be placed on the Nevada Power Property so that it would not obstruct the residential properties. Additionally, other locations could be found. If the monopole is to be placed at the proposed location, it should be 80 feet so that it blends in with the height of the Nevada Power high-tension lines running north and south.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 127 – U-0057-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, Meadows Village, pointed out that there is an invasion of high frequency electronic equipment that interferes with other towers creating poor cellular service. All the new cell tower companies coming in cannot guarantee service or what effect a cellular may have on a person using the cell telephone.

COUNCILMAN REESE requested that the item be held in abeyance to give the applicant the opportunity to meet with the residents of Villa Monterey Apartments. He asked that MS. HILL either come back at that time or submit a letter expressing their approval. He wants the residents to be 100% certain that all issues have been resolved.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:55 – 4:09)

**4-2391**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0059-01 - RANCHO DECATUR, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR ADVERTISING** - Appeal filed by Lamar Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Rancho Decatur, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Advertising for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR SIX (6) 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGNS on the south side of the intersection of Rancho Drive and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 139-18-302-004 and 139-18-403-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 8/1/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, explained that the parcel is very large and that eight months ago it had eight signs on it. The signs were removed, and the applicant wants to replace them with six signs. However, the applicant has committed to not put as many back on Rancho Drive. In fact, the request is for a total of five billboard signs, one on Decatur Boulevard and four on Rancho Drive.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 128 – U-0059-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, expressed his displeasure on the amount of billboards that the applicant requested, especially when the City is trying to reduce the amount of billboards throughout the Valley.

JOHN VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane, indicated that signs are important because they help the public find businesses or offices. However, he asked why certain signs do not have addresses on them.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that he is concerned about the amount of billboards in this particular area, but appreciates Lamar Outdoor working closely with the City to address the issue.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, asked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for clarification of how many of the total six signs being asked for would be the motion for approval, and whether or not the approval would include the condition that actually eliminated the proposed billboard on Decatur Boulevard. MR. GLORE clarified for COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that there was a condition recommended had the Planning Commission chosen to pass this on with a recommendation of approval that would have eliminated a billboard on Decatur Boulevard and would have resulted on five along Rancho Drive. If the item would be approved, staff recommendation would be to add a condition that would delete the billboard on Decatur.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that that would cause a problem for the applicant because they wanted to keep one sign on Decatur Boulevard. MS. COTA responded that originally they committed to the landowner to put up six signs because it would generate a significant amount of revenue for that landowner. However, they are willing to drop one along Rancho Drive.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked what is planned, as far as future development on this particular parcel. MS. COTA presented a diagram of a project being proposed by TIM CASHMAN and STEVE KALB. They plan to develop the parcel into a single-story office professional building, put in roads and other improvements, as well as fit the billboards with the development. Since MS. COTA did not have additional information, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that the item be held in abeyance to get a better understanding of what actually would be happening to this parcel.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 128 – U-0059-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Manager to see whether the City has jurisdiction to require that buildings have addresses on them.

(4:09 – 4:18)

**4-3056**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0061-01 - ROBERT SCHMIDT ON BEHALF OF JORDAN MINTCHEV** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR OPEN AIR VENDING (HOT DOG CART) IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING CAR WASH at 4820 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 138-36-804-008), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JORDAN MINCHEV, 100 North Wallace, Building #3, Apt. #219, stated that he would be operating a hot dog vending cart at 4820 West Charleston Boulevard. COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that this would be a welcome amenity at the car wash.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:32 – 4:34)

**5-389**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 129 – U-0061-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. No open flame cooking is allowed.
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

Public Works

4. The proposed open air vending (hot dog cart) shall be located outside the existing driveway and drive aisle internal to this site so as to not impede the flow of either vehicular or pedestrian traffic along Charleston Boulevard.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0106-00** - MICHELAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) of 20 Acres on the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-08-101-001), PROPOSED USE: 159 LOT SINGLE FAMILY SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**2**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 108 [SD-0012-01], Item 130 [Z-0106-00] and Item 131 [Z-0106-00(1) to 7/18/01 and Item 122 [U-0034-01] to 8/15/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(1:10 – 1:12)

**3-37**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0106-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0106-00(1) - MICHELAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 159 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-08-101-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone PROPOSED: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 108 [SD-0012-01], Item 130 [Z-0106-00] and Item 131 [Z-0106-00(1) to 7/18/01 and Item 122 [U-0034-01] to 8/15/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(1:10 – 1:12)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0002-01 - ALBERT**

**EUGENE, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) on 0.17 acres at 701 South Ninth Street (APN: 139-34-810-078), PROPOSED USE: 7,066 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**3**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN KIDD, 9528 Scenic Sunset Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that modifications have been made to the building reducing its size. Two additional parking spaces have been added in the side yard, for a total of 11 spaces. Due to the revised plan the Variance was reduced by 22% and the basement was completely eliminated. He presented a new schematic elevation, as well as the site plan.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that the residents in the immediate area would have to be protected. He is concerned that this would contribute to the increase in crime.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 132 – Z-0002-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked MR. KIDD for addressing the concerns raised by the residents. The project was far more intense than originally proposed, and MR. KIDD met many of the requirements that staff requested.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development Department, read into the record conditions to be added to Item 133 [V-0002-01], Item 134 [V-0006-01] and Item 135 [Z-0002-01(1)]. MR. KIDD concurred with the added conditions.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 132 [Z-0002-01], Item 133 [V-0002-01], Item 134 [V-0006-01] and Item 135 [Z-0002-01(1)] took place under Item 132 [Z-0002-01].

(4:34 – 4:41)

**5-448**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate a 15-foot radius on the southeast corner of Ninth Street and Garces Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk and streetlights) on Ninth Street and Garces Avenue, including a handicap ramp on the southeast corner of Ninth Street and Garces Avenue, concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct full width alley improvements adjacent to this extending northward to tie into existing improvements along Garces Avenue concurrent with development of this site.
5. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 132 – Z-0002-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Ninth Street and Garces Avenue adjacent to this site.
8. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all private improvements located in the Ninth Street and Garces Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0002-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0002-01 - ALBERT EUGENE, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 9 PARKING SPACES WHERE 19 PARKING SPACES ARE REQUIRED, AND TO ALLOW A LOT WIDTH OF 50 FEET WHERE 60 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED at 701 South Ninth Street (APN: 139-34-810-078), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, [PROPOSED: P-R (Professional Offices and Parking)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL of the parking request for 9 spaces, and APPROVAL of the 50 foot lot width

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="4"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL of the parking request for 9 spaces, and APPROVAL of the 50 foot lot width, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - APPROVED** subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- This Variance approval is based on the revised site plan submitted to the Planning and Development Department and the Public Works Department on June 29, 2001 except as amended by conditions herein.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN KIDD, 9528 Scenic Sunset Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 133 – V-0002-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 132 [Z-0002-01], Item 133 [V-0002-01], Item 134 [V-0006-01] and Item 135 [Z-0002-01(1)] took place under Item 132 [Z-0002-01].

(4:34 – 4:41)

**5-448**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0002-01), Variance (V-0006-01), and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0002-01(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire in two years, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0002-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0006-01 - ALBERT EUGENE, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT CORNER SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE 15 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED, AND TO ALLOW A FIVE FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE 90 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED FOR RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY at 701 South Ninth Street (APN: 139-34-810-078), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone [PROPOSED P-R (Professional Office and Parking)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****WEEKLY - APPROVED** subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- This Variance approval is based on the revised site plan submitted to the Planning and Development Department and the Public Works Department on June 29, 2001 except as amended by conditions herein.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN KIDD, 9528 Scenic Sunset Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 134 – V-0006-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 132 [Z-0002-01], Item 133 [V-0002-01], Item 134 [V-0006-01] and Item 135 [Z-0002-01(1)] took place under Item 132 [Z-0002-01].

(4:34 – 4:41)

**5-448**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0002-01), Variance (V-0002-01), and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0002-01(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire in two years, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0002-01, V-0002-01 AND V-0006-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0002-01(1) - ALBERT EUGENE, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 7,066 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING AND FOR A WAIVER OF THE LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS on 0.17 acres at 701 South Ninth Street (APN: 139-34-810-078), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, [PROPOSED: P-R (Professional Office and Parking)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**3**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - APPROVED** subject to conditions and the following added conditions:

- This Site Development Plan Review approval is based on the revised site plan submitted to the Planning and Development Department and the Public Works Department on June 26, 2001 except as amended by conditions herein.
- The rear stairwell railing shall consist of a solid structure consistent with the building elevations, materials and colors.

– UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN KIDD, 9528 Scenic Sunset Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 135 – Z-0002-01(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 132 [Z-0002-01], Item 133 [V-0002-01], Item 134 [V-0006-01] and Item 135 [Z-0002-01(1)] took place under Item 132 [Z-0002-01].

(4:34 – 4:41)

**5-448**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning to a P-R (Professional Office and Parking) Zoning District.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0002-01), Variance (V-0002-01), and Variance (V-0006-01) prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
3. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised to depict compliance with the landscape requirements of Title 19A.12.030 for a planter of 15 feet in width, wholly within the site along the site frontage, or a waiver for landscaping shall be approved by the City Council prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
5. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 135 – Z-0002-01(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Public Works

8. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.
9. Any proposed gates that will extend across the parking lot entry shall remain open during normal business hours.
10. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-2-01, Variance Request V-2-01 (both on this same agenda) and all other subsequent site-related actions.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0028-01 - NEW LIFE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: PD (Planned Development) on approximately 10 acres located on the north side of the Gilmore Avenue alignment and on the west side of the western beltway alignment (APN: 137-12-101-011, 012, and 013), PROPOSED USE: 340-UNIT SENIOR LIVING FACILITY, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the request is for the rezoning of 10 acres located at the southeast corner of a 30-acre project. The Site Development Plan is for a proposed senior living facility that will have a number of amenities, golf course, tennis courts and different varieties of housing for the seniors.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 136 – Z-0028-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared in support of the project and likes the elevations of the project.

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, Meadows Village, commented that as a senior citizen he is concerned that this type of development might be too expensive for senior citizens. It is great to have a beautiful project at a nice location, but it should also be affordable so that those senior citizens living there would be able to stay. MAYOR GOODMAN asked that ATTORNEY AMICK speak to MR. CHRISTOFF to address his concerns.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 136 [Z-0028-01] and Item 137 [Z-0028-01(1)] took place under Item 136 [Z-0028-01].

(4:41 – 4:46)

**5-700**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

Public Works

3. Submit a Petition of Vacation for Marla Street and the unnamed east-west street along the north side of APN#137-12-101-012 that intersects with Marla Street. Such vacation shall be recorded prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits over the area to be vacated.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 136 – Z-0028-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

4. Submit a plan for approval by the City Engineer for the termination of Gilmore Avenue. Dedicate or vacate the portions of the Gilmore Avenue right-of-way as necessary per the approved plan prior to the issuance of any permits over the area to be vacated. Construct any half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Gilmore Avenue as required per the approved plan concurrent with development of this site.
5. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Alexander Road prior to the issuance of any permits.
6. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site along a logical route concurrent with development of this site.
7. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Alexander Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
8. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer to the southwest corner of the development to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
9. An update to the previously approved Lone Mountain West Master Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 136 – Z-0028-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0028-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0028-01(1) & Z-0024-99(24) - NEW LIFE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 340-UNIT SENIOR LIVING FACILITY on approximately 30 acres located on the south side of the Alexander Road alignment and on the west side of the Western Beltway alignment (APN: 137-12-101-004, 005, 006, 010, 011, 012, and 013). U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: PD (Planned Development)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 137 – Z-0028-01(1) & Z-0024-99(24)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 136 [Z-0028-01] and Item 137 [Z-0028-01(1)] took place under Item 136 [Z-0028-01].

(4:41 – 4:46)

**5-700**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Submit a revised site plan that depicts 8 handicap parking spaces throughout the site.
2. The applicant along with the Planning and Development Staff shall cooperatively prepare a mutually acceptable method of compliance with the requirement for contribution to the future development of the Planned Park.
3. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning [Z-0028-01] to a PD (Planned Development) Zoning District on the 10 remaining acres of the overall site.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
7. Landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 137 – Z-0028-01(1) & Z-0024-99(24)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-28-01 and all other site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0029-01 - ABLF, LIMITED LIABILITY**

**COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on approximately 1.0 acres at 1201 Arville Street (APN: 162-06-510-018),  
**PROPOSED USE:** RETAIL, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LLOYD HARRIS, 2808 Avalon, ABLF, Limited Liability Company, Suite #4255, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the zoning would conform to the General Plan designation of the area. COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that the application has been amended from C-1 (Limited Commercial) to CD (Designed Commercial) zoning.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:46 – 4:48)



**5-863**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 138 – Z-0029-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This application shall be amended to C-D (Designed Commercial).
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. Conformance to the site plan as submitted.

Public Works

4. Construct full-width alley paving adjacent to this site and extending eastward to tie into existing improvements prior to occupancy of this site.
5. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
6. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 138 – Z-0029-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0032-01 - PARDEE CONSTRUCTION**

**COMPANY NEVADA** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [ML-EXP (Medium-Low) General Plan Designation] TO: TC (Town Center) on 5.1 acres on the north side of the Farm Road alignment, approximately 330 feet east of the Tee Pee Lane alignment (APN: 125-18-601-009), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APRIL McGRIFF, Bossard Developer Services, 2920 North Green Valley Parkway, Suite #814, appeared on behalf of the applicant, Pardee Homes, and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 139 – Z-0032-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 139 [Z-0032-01] and Item 140 [Z-0034-01] was held under Item 139 [Z-0032-00].

(4:48 – 4:49)

**5-893**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to approval of a Tentative Map, issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate appropriate public right-of-way adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final dedication will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.
4. Construct appropriate half-street improvements, including overpaving, adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final half-street construction requirements will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.
5. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to each site along a logical route concurrent with development of each site.
6. Extend public sewer to the west edge of this site in the Farm Road alignment to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer and concurrent with the development of this parcel. Provide public sewer easements for public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits or recordation of any Final Map.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 139 – Z-0032-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first., if allowed by the City Engineer.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 139 – Z-0032-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. The final layout of each development within the Planned Development Area shall be determined at the time of approval of individual Tentative Maps and/or Site Development Plan Reviews.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0034-01 - PARDEE CONSTRUCTION**

**COMPANY NEVADA** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [L-TC (Low Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: T-C (Town Center) on 69.6 acres located within an area bound by the Farm Road alignment on the north, the Tee Pee Lane alignment on the west, the Fort Apache Road alignment on the east, and approximately 660 feet south of the Deer Springs Way alignment on the south (APN: 125-18-601-009; 125-18-701-012, 013, 014; 125-18-702-001, 002, 003; 125-18-801-006, 007, 014; 125-19-501-007, 008, 017, 018; 125-19-601-013, 014; 125-19-602-004, 006; and 125-19-701-006), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APRIL McGRIFF, Bossard Developer Services, 2920 North Green Valley Parkway, Suite #814, appeared on behalf of the applicant, Pardee Homes, and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 140 – Z-0034-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 139 [Z-0032-01] and Item 140 [Z-0034-01] was held under Item 139 [Z-0032-00].

(4:48 – 4:49)

**5-893**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to approval of a Tentative Map, issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate appropriate public right-of-way adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final dedication will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.
4. Construct appropriate half-street improvements, including overpaving, adjacent and internal to these sites concurrent with development of these sites. Final half-street construction requirements will be determined upon submittal of individual site plans.
5. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to each site along a logical route concurrent with development of each site.
6. Extend public sewer to the west edge of this site in the Farm Road, Severance Lane, Elkhorn Road, Dorrell Lane and Deer Springs Way alignments to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer concurrent with the development of these parcels. Provide public sewer easements for public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits or recordation of any Final Map.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 140 – Z-0034-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for any site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Planning and Development  
Item 140 – Z-0034-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. The final layout of each development within the Planned Development Area shall be determined at the time of approval of individual Tentative Maps and/or Site Development Plan Reviews.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

**U-0015-99(2), U-0063-01, U-0076-00(1), VAC-0012-01, VAC-0013-01, VAC-0014-01, V-0031-01, V-0026-01, U-0066-01 - 7/18/01 AGENDA**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ADDENDUM:**

NONE

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 5, 2001**

**CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:**

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

**MINUTES:**

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, Meadows Village, stated that he and BOB ROSE have been involved in an investigation together looking as far back as 12 years of Council members' and Mayors' campaign contributions. Council members need to disclose that they received gifts or campaign contributions and should abstain from voting on applications submitted by the giver of those gifts or contributions.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) clearly states that if a person receives a campaign contribution and it is disclosed that that is an adequate disclosure of that issue requiring neither an abstention nor a recusal from the vote. MR. CHRISTOFF argued that if a Board member received certain gifts other than what has been disclosed on the campaign contributions, those things will be questioned by people who want an honest view on the matter. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD reiterated that she felt confident that any Council member who received campaign contributions has fully and completely disclosed them and that MR. CHRISTOFF should be mindful and careful of his statements or innuendoes.

(4:50 – 4:56)

**5-972**

LLOYD HARGIS, 6666 West Washington Avenue, noted that due to abstentions on the part of the City Council, sometimes the proper work is not getting done. By not voting, the Council ends up voting for the very thing they do not want. He asked whether it is possible for a Council member to admit to a conflict, but still vote morally and the best possible way. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that he has asked that very question and was told that it is not possible.

(4:56 – 4:57)

**5-1200**

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

GARY PECK, Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada (ACLU), 325 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, #25, brought forth the issue of constitution rights and the abuses by government when it oversteps its proper authority and infringes on the fundamental rights of ordinary people. Two years ago he came before the City Council to address the work card issue and at that time he did not receive a warm reception. At that time MAYOR GOODMAN invited him to discuss the issues and concerns and seemed to understand that the constitution really might be implicated with respect to work card issues. Therefore, he encouraged him to form an advisory group to deal with these issues. The group was formed with representatives from government, law enforcement, resort associations, unions, non-profit organizations and from the community at large. Unfortunately, that group disbanded in a very short period of time because the ACLU and the Interfaith Council insisted that the conversation start with a conversation about constitutional standards, legal requirements and limits on the government's authority to burden the people's constitutional right to work. For nearly two years he has tried to avoid unnecessary conflict and controversy and has spent a lot of time talking to lawyers around the country. Not only does he believe the law will not withstand a constitutional challenge as it is currently written, but he believes that every single person who was impermissible being required to get a work card in violation of their rights is very likely entitled to a full reimbursement. This is not only true for past applicants but future applicants as well. MR. PECK urged the City Council to seriously address this issue as soon as possible. He invited the Council to contact any legal or constitutional scholar at any law schools and ask them to review the statutes and ordinances that currently exist on the books and ask them if they think it will withstand a constitutional challenge. He guaranteed that the answer will be no.

(4:57 – 5:01)

**5-1245**

LISA SHERMAN appeared representing the City of Las Vegas Parks and Recreation Advisory Board's Park Naming Sub-Committee of the City of Las Vegas Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission. She outlined the procedures on the naming of parks in the City of Las Vegas. Her comments have been made a part of the Final Minutes. MAYOR GOODMAN thanked MS. SHERMAN and indicated that the information she submitted would be made available to the full Board and the issue will be discussed during their briefings, as they are not allowed to take any action at this time.

(5:01 – 5:09)

**5-1425**

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

JAY NEDDY, 1901 South 16<sup>th</sup> Street, indicated that in May he started the first independently-owned taxicab company that was allocated by the Taxi Cab Authority in Las Vegas since that board was established in the 1960s. After two months the company is quite profitable with a total of seven taxicabs servicing West Las Vegas, west of I-15. More than 60% of calls are from customers calling for a cab. He thanked COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD for testifying on behalf of her constituents at those hearings. As a result, they were successful in their quest to get the license from the Taxicab Authority. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated for the record that MR. NEDDY is more than a taxicab owner. He is a great boxing referee, bringing nothing but credit to Las Vegas. He referred to a fight downtown on Fremont Street, which MR. NEDDY refereed. MAYOR GOODMAN thanked MR. NEDDY for his service to the community.

(5:09 – 5:10)

**5-1730**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked whether there could be a taxicab in a form of pick-up truck for those people who do not have transportation and need to hire a vehicle to transport bulkier items, such as compost.

MR. FARLOW asked on the whereabouts of Las Vegas citizen BEATRICE TURNER, since she has not been attending the City Council meetings. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY replied that MS. TURNER is well and taking a vacation. She is a proud and active resident of Ward 5.

(5:10 – 5:13)

**5-1800**

JOHN VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane, indicated that he sent a letter to the Council and has not received a response as yet. MR. VENTURA pointed out that COUNCILMAN WEEKLY is the only Council member that has had no Ethics Complaints filed against him.

(5:13 – 5:14)

**5-1866**

AL GALLEGGO, Citizen of Las Vegas, stated that port-a-potties are repulsive. He has had one next door to his house for the last three months, and it was locked. The smell was so unpleasant that he broke the lock so that he could pour perfume down the toilet.



# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. GALLEGO invited the City Council to visit a park located on Veterans Memorial Highway and Bonanza Road. A gentleman who is using his own money and time is building the park. He wants to extend the park further up Bonanza Road, but he cannot because that is City property. Benches were built with a 2 by 4 stuck in the ground and a 2 by 4 up on top. The benches are placed around the park, which has gravel and a lot of desert landscaping. Thus far he has not asked the City for any money. MAYOR GOODMAN asked for the gentleman's name, but MR. GALLEGO replied that he is not at liberty to say, as it might embarrass that gentleman. He just wanted to acknowledge the man's efforts for the City of Las Vegas and the Downtown area.

(5:14 – 5:17)

**5-1915**

JUANITA CLARK submitted comments relating to Bill 2001-68. However, she never appeared during the Citizen Participation to discuss the issue. Her comments have been made a part of the final minutes.

**MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:17 P.M.**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001  
Notes and Directives

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was determined that the effective date for the merit increase and bonus would be July 8, 2001. (See Item No. 3)

(1:06)

**3-7**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN asked the City Manager's office for a briefing within the next month or so that outlines the policy that drives the Real Estate Division. His particular interest was in the purchase of property for long-term investment. He used the above item to emphasize how an investment could net additional money in a short period of time. (See Item No. 57)

(9:32 – 9:36)

**1-676**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE requested that all records from the previous meeting opposing or supporting this item be put into today's record. (See Item No. 59)

(9:51/1:12 – 2:36)

**1-1341/3-85**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. GENZER to provide updates: the first to be provided three months from the date of the last Council meeting of June 20, 2001 and the second to be provided two months thereafter. (See Item No. 72)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. GENZER to contact the Regional Transportation Commission prior to the expiration of the moratorium and from them obtain any anticipated plan proposals for streets and highways. (See Item No. 72)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested that prior to the expiration of the six-month moratorium, a neighborhood meeting be held.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested CITY COUNCIL look at the prospect of establishing maintenance standards similar to those adopted by the City of Pasadena that require property owners to maintain their front lawns.

(10:12 – 10:27)

**1-2169**

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 5, 2001 Notes and Directives

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed City Clerk RONEMUS to forward the population element proposal and backup to his office. (See Item No. 77)

(10:43 – 10:53)

**2-43**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE requested the meeting date with the Governor be stepped up. (See Item 58)

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested a meeting with other municipalities before meeting with the governor. (See Item 58)

(9:36 – 9:51)

**1-793**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE requested that all records from the previous meeting opposing or supporting this item be put into today's record. [See Item 98 – SD-0076-00]

MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Manager to see whether the City has jurisdiction to require that buildings have addresses on them. [See Item 128 – U-0059-01]